# On the spacecraft attitude stabilization in the orbital frame

Kirill A. Antipov<sup>\*</sup> Alexey A. Tikhonov<sup>†</sup>

#### Abstract

The paper deals with spacecraft in the circular near-Earth orbit. The spacecraft interacts with geomagnetic field by the moments of Lorentz and magnetic forces. The octupole approximation of the Earth's magnetic field is accepted. The spacecraft electromagnetic parameters, namely the electrostatic charge moment of the first order and the eigen magnetic moment are the controlled quasiperiodic functions. The control algorithms for the spacecraft electromagnetic parameters, which allows to stabilize the spacecraft attitude position in the orbital frame are obtained. The stability of the spacecraft stabilized orientation is proved both analytically and by PC computations.

Keywords: spacecraft, attitude stabilization, geomagnetic field.

### 1 Introduction

The forces of spacecraft electrodynamic interaction with the geomagnetic field considerably influence on the spacecraft attitude dynamics and so can be used for designing control systems of spacecraft attitude orientation.

The magnetic control systems can be successfully applied to long-operating spacecrafts due to the fact that these control systems are quite simple, highly reliable, and do note require working body consumption [1, 2]. At the same time, magnetic control systems have a functional feature restricting their capabilities on directing the vector of control moment.

<sup>\*</sup>Russia, St. Petersburg, 198504, Stary Peterhof, Universitetsky pr., 28, St.-Petersburg State University, Faculty of Mathematics and Mechanics, e-mail: antipov.k@rambler.ru

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Russia, St. Petersburg, 198504, Stary Peterhof, Universitetsky pr., 28, St.-Petersburg State University, Faculty of Mathematics and Mechanics, e-mail: aatikhonov@rambler.ru

The method for semipassive attitude stabilization of the spacecraft moving in the Keplerian circular orbit in the geomagnetic field based on applying only the electrodynamic effect of the Lorentz forces acting upon the charged part of the spacecraft surface was published for the first time in [3]. It was proved that by the controlled change of the radius-vector  $\vec{\rho_0}$  of the center of spacecraft charge with respect to the center of mass of the spacecraft, it is possible to create the controlled moment of Lorentz forces and use it as a restoring moment in the neighborhood of the spacecraft direct equilibrium position in the orbital coordinate system. Application of this moment does not require working substance consumption by an actuator or moving any massive bodies, and is distinguished by the simplicity of the control law, reliability, and economy. A certain variant of the control law for the radius-vector  $\vec{\rho_0}$  is proposed; it realizes this method for the orbits with small inclinations i, but degenerate for mean and large values of i.

It was demonstrated in [4] that the indicated method can be generalized onto the case of spacecraft arbitrary position in the orbital coordinate system and the new control law suitable for orbits with any inclinations was proposed. It was noted that the application of only one moment of Lorentz forces is connected with the presence of constraint on the direction of vector of control moment similar to the constraint on the direction of magnetic moment mentioned above. It was demonstrated that the stated shortcomings of both control systems disappear when a unified electrodynamic control system for spacecraft attitude orientation using both restoring moments simultaneously, i.e., of Lorentz and magnetic forces, is created. In the papers [3] and [4] the quadrupole approximation of the Earth's magnetic field was accepted based on the mathematical apparatus, suggested in [5]. The development of this mathematical apparatus according to [6] allowed to construct analytically the magnetic induction  $\vec{B}$  of geomagnetic field with taking into account the first three multipole components (of the 2-nd, 3-rd and 4-th orders). With use of these results in the present paper in contrast to [3] and [4], the Earth's magnetic field is approximated by the more precise model – the octupole approximation. One more difference of this paper with respect to [4] is that the gravitational moment acting on the spacecraft attitude dynamics is taken into account as the largest disturbing moment.

### 2 The moment of Lorentz forces

A spacecraft, whose center of mass moves in the Newtonian central Earth's gravitational field in the Keplerian circular orbit of the radius R, is con-

sidered. It is assumed that the spacecraft has the electrostatic charge Q distributed within some volume V with the density  $\sigma$ :  $Q = \int \sigma \, dV$ .

We study the spacecraft attitude motion with respect to the orbital coordinate system <sup>1</sup>  $C\xi\eta\zeta$  (fig. 2) with the origin at the spacecraft mass center, whose axis  $C\xi(\vec{\xi_0})$  is directed along the tangent to the orbit towards the motion, the axis  $C\eta(\vec{\eta_0})$  is directed along the normal line towards orbit plane, the axis  $C\zeta(\vec{\zeta_0})$  is directed along the radius-vector  $\vec{R} = \overrightarrow{O_{\rm E}C} = R\vec{\zeta_0}$  of the spacecraft mass center with respect to the center of the Earth  $O_{\rm E}$ .

The investigation is carried out taking into consideration the rotation of the orbital coordinate system with respect to the inertial coordinate system with the angular velocity  $\omega_0$ . As an inertial coordinate system, we consider the system  $O_{\rm E}X_*Y_*Z_*$ , whose axis  $O_{\rm E}Z_*(\vec{k_*})$  is directed along the axis of the Earth's self-rotation, the axis  $O_{\rm E}X_*(\vec{i_*})$  is directed to the ascending node of orbit, and the plane  $(X_*Y_*)$  coincides with the equatorial plane.



Figure 1:

Also, a system Cxyz (basis vectors  $\vec{i}, \vec{j}, \vec{k}$ ) of spacecraft principal central axes of inertia rigidly bound with the spacecraft is used. The orientation of the orbital coordinate system with respect to the system  $O_{\rm E}X_*Y_*Z_*$  is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In this paper, direct Cartesian rectangular coordinate systems are used



Figure 2:

defined on the basis of equalities

$$\vec{i_*} = -\sin u \, \vec{\xi_0} + \cos u \, \vec{\zeta_0}, \ \vec{j_*} = \cos i \cos u \, \vec{\xi_0} - \sin i \, \vec{\eta_0} + \cos i \sin u \, \vec{\zeta_0}, \\ \vec{k_*} = \sin i \cos u \, \vec{\xi_0} + \cos i \, \vec{\eta_0} + \sin i \sin u \, \vec{\zeta_0},$$

where  $i = (\widehat{\vec{k_*}, \vec{\eta_0}})$  is an orbit inclination;  $u = (\widehat{\vec{i_*}, \vec{\zeta_0}})$  is an argument of a latitude and  $u = \omega_0 t$  where  $\omega_0$  is an orbital angular velocity of the spacecraft mass center.

The orientation of the axes xyz with respect to the axes  $\xi\eta\zeta$  is defined by a matrix **A** of direction cosines  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\beta_i$ ,  $\gamma_i$  (i = 1, 2, 3) so that there exist the equalities

$$\vec{\xi_0} = \alpha_1 \vec{i} + \alpha_2 \vec{j} + \alpha_3 \vec{k}, \quad \vec{\eta_0} = \beta_1 \vec{i} + \beta_2 \vec{j} + \beta_3 \vec{k}, \quad \vec{\zeta_0} = \gamma_1 \vec{i} + \gamma_2 \vec{j} + \gamma_3 \vec{k}.$$

If we determine the spacecraft orientation in the orbital coordinate system by "airborne" angles  $\varphi, \theta, \psi$  (Fig. 2), then the elements of the matrix **A** will have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \cos\psi\cos\theta, \quad \alpha_2 &= -\cos\varphi\sin\psi + \sin\varphi\cos\psi\sin\theta, \\ \alpha_3 &= \sin\varphi\sin\psi + \cos\varphi\cos\psi\sin\theta, \quad \beta_1 &= \sin\psi\cos\theta, \\ \beta_2 &= \cos\varphi\cos\psi + \sin\varphi\sin\psi\sin\theta, \quad \beta_3 &= -\sin\varphi\cos\psi + \cos\varphi\sin\psi\sin\theta, \\ \gamma_1 &= -\sin\theta, \quad \gamma_2 &= \sin\varphi\cos\theta, \quad \gamma_3 &= \cos\varphi\cos\theta. \end{aligned}$$

When the spacecraft is moving with respect to the Earth's magnetic field, the interaction of the shield charge with the geomagnetic field results in Lorentz forces excitation. The principal moment of these forces with respect to the spacecraft mass center is defined by the formula

$$\vec{M}_L = \int\limits_V \sigma \vec{\rho} \times (\vec{v} \times \vec{B}) \, dV,$$

where  $\vec{\rho}$  is the radius-vector of the element dV of the shield with respect to the spacecraft mass center, and  $\vec{v}$  is the velocity of the element dV with respect to the geomagnetic field. It was demonstrated in [5] that for any real spacecraft and especially for spacecrafts operating in modes close to the oriented attitude motion, the moment  $\vec{M}_L$  can be approximated by the expression

$$\vec{M}_L = \vec{P} \times \mathbf{A}^\top (\vec{v}_C \times \vec{B}), \tag{1}$$

where  $\vec{P} = Q\vec{\rho_0}$ ,  $\vec{\rho_0} = x_0\vec{i} + y_0\vec{j} + z_0\vec{k} = Q^{-1}\int_V \sigma\vec{\rho}\,dV$  is the radius-vector of the center of charge of the spacecraft with respect to its mass center,

$$\vec{v}_C = \dot{\vec{R}} - \vec{\omega}_E \times \vec{R} = R(\omega_0 - \omega_E \cos i) \,\vec{\xi_0} + R\omega_E \sin i \cos u \,\vec{\eta_0} \tag{2}$$

is the velocity of the spacecraft mass center with respect to the geomagnetic filed,  $\vec{\omega}_E = \omega_E \vec{k_*}$  is the angular velocity of the Earth's daily rotation. The Earth's magnetic field can be considered in this case to be uniform in the spacecraft volume. Therefore, the value of  $\vec{B}$  in (1) coincides with the value of  $\vec{B}$  at the spacecraft mass center. Considering the vector  $\vec{T} = \mathbf{A}^{\top}(\vec{v}_C \times \vec{B})$ , let us represent the moment  $\vec{M}_L$  in the form

$$\vec{M}_L = \vec{P} \times \vec{T}$$

Such approximation of the moment  $\vec{M}_L$  is admissible in the presence of not very small quantities of  $|\vec{\rho}_0|$  due to the negligible influence of the charge-distribution character within the spacecraft volume on its dynamics. In conditions of the octupole approximation of the geomagnetic field, the vector  $\vec{B}$  is defined by the formula obtained in [6]:

$$\vec{B} = \sum_{n=1}^{3} \vec{B}^{(n)} = -\text{grad} \sum_{n=1}^{3} \frac{R_E^{n+2}}{r^{2n+1}} \mathbf{M}^{(n)} \underbrace{\cdots}_n (\otimes^n \vec{r}), \tag{3}$$

where  $\vec{r}$  is the radius-vector of a point in the near-Earth space with respect to the Earth mass center,  $M^{(n)}$  are multipole tensors of the first, second and third ranks that are dipole, quadrupole and octupole magnetic moments respectively, earlier obtained in [6].

In projections on the orbital coordinate system we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
B_{\xi}^{(n)} \\
B_{\eta}^{(n)} \\
B_{\zeta}^{(n)}
\end{pmatrix} = -\left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^{n+2} \begin{pmatrix}
n \operatorname{M}_0^{(n)} \cdots \operatorname{T}_{\lambda}^{(n)} \\
n \operatorname{M}_0^{(n)} \cdots \operatorname{T}_{\theta}^{(n)} \\
-(n+1) \operatorname{M}_0^{(n)} \cdots \operatorname{T}_r^{(n)} \\
n \\
\end{pmatrix},$$
(4)

where

$$\mathbf{T}_r = (\cos u, \sin u, 0)^\top, \qquad \mathbf{T}_r^{(n)} = \otimes^n \mathbf{T}_r, \qquad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\lambda} = (-\sin u, \cos u, 0)^{\top}, \qquad \mathbf{T}_{\lambda}^{(n)} = \mathbf{T}_{\lambda} \otimes^{n-1} \mathbf{T}_{r}, \qquad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\theta} = (0, 0, 1)^{\top}, \qquad \qquad \mathbf{T}_{\theta}^{(n)} = \mathbf{T}_{\theta} \otimes^{n-1} \mathbf{T}_{r}, \qquad (7)$$

 ${
m M}_0^{(n)}$  - the multipole tensor of *n*-th rank, reformed with matrix  $\mathbf{\Gamma} = (\gamma_{ij})$   $(i = \overline{1,3}, j = \overline{1,3})$  according to the formula

$$\left(\mathbf{M}_{0}^{(n)}\right)_{i_{1},i_{2},\ldots,i_{n}} = \sum_{j_{1},j_{2},\ldots,j_{n}=1}^{3} \gamma_{i_{1},j_{1}}\gamma_{i_{2},j_{2}}\ldots\gamma_{i_{n},j_{n}}\mathbf{M}_{j_{1},j_{2},\ldots,j_{n}}^{(n)}.$$

The components of matrix  $(\gamma_{ij})$  are expressed in terms of the orbit inclination i and the hour angle of the ascending node  $\phi = \omega_E t$  in the following way:

$\gamma_{11} = \cos\phi,$	$\gamma_{12} = -\sin\phi,$	$\gamma_{13} = 0,$
$\gamma_{21} = \cos i \sin \phi,$	$\gamma_{22} = \cos i \cos \phi,$	$\gamma_{23} = \sin i,$
$\gamma_{31} = -\sin i \sin \phi,$	$\gamma_{32} = -\sin i \cos \phi,$	$\gamma_{33} = \cos i.$

For completeness of contents we shall cite also the specified expressions for multipole tensors [6]:

$$M_1 = g_1^1, \qquad M_2 = h_1^1, \qquad M_3 = g_1^0,$$

$$M_{11} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{3}g_2^2 - g_2^0 \right), \qquad M_{12} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}h_2^2, \qquad M_{13} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g_2^1,$$
$$M_{22} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( g_2^0 + \sqrt{3}g_2^2 \right), \qquad M_{23} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}h_2^1, \qquad M_{33} = g_2^0,$$

$$\begin{split} M_{111} &= \frac{\sqrt{6}}{12} \left( \sqrt{15} \, g_3^3 - 3 \, g_3^1 \right), \qquad M_{112} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{12} \left( \sqrt{15} \, h_3^3 - h_3^1 \right), \\ M_{113} &= \frac{1}{6} \left( \sqrt{15} \, g_3^2 - 3 \, g_3^0 \right), \qquad M_{122} = -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{12} \left( \sqrt{15} \, g_3^3 + g_3^1 \right), \\ M_{123} &= \frac{\sqrt{15}}{6} \, h_3^2, \qquad M_{133} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \, g_3^1 \\ M_{222} &= -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{12} \left( \sqrt{15} \, h_3^3 + 3 \, h_3^1 \right), \qquad M_{223} = -\frac{1}{6} \left( \sqrt{15} \, g_3^2 + 3 \, g_3^0 \right), \\ M_{233} &= \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \, h_3^1, \qquad M_{333} = g_3^0, \end{split}$$

In detailed form the components of vector  $\vec{B}$  in octupole approximation are the following:

$$\begin{split} B_{\xi} &= -\left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^3 \left(\left(f_{0,1,0,1,1,0} - f_{1,0,0,0,1}\right) M_1 + \left(f_{1,0,0,0,1,0} + f_{0,1,0,1,0,1}\right) M_2 \right. \\ &+ M_3 f_{0,1,1,0,0,0}\right) - 2 \left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^4 \left(2 \, M_{13} f_{0,2,1,0,0,1} + \left(M_{22} - M_{11}\right) f_{1,1,0,0,0,2} \right. \\ &+ \left(M_{22} - M_{11}\right) f_{0,0,0,1,1,1} + \left(-M_{22} + M_{33}\right) f_{1,1,0,0,0,0} + M_{23} f_{0,0,1,0,1,0} \right. \\ &+ M_{12} f_{0,0,0,1,0,0} - M_{13} f_{0,0,1,0,0,1} + \left(2 \, M_{11} - 2 \, M_{22}\right) f_{0,2,0,1,1,1} \\ &+ 2 \, M_{12} f_{1,1,0,0,1,1} + 2 \, M_{12} f_{1,1,0,2,1,1} + 2 \, M_{13} f_{1,1,1,1,0} \right. \\ &+ \left(M_{22} - M_{11}\right) f_{1,1,0,2,0,2} + 2 \, M_{23} f_{1,1,1,1,0,1} - 2 \, M_{12} f_{0,2,0,1,0,0} \right. \\ &- 2 \, M_{12} f_{0,0,0,1,0,2} + \left(M_{11} - M_{33}\right) f_{1,1,0,2,0,0} + 4 \, M_{12} f_{0,2,0,1,0,2} \\ &- 2 \, M_{23} f_{0,2,1,0,1,0}\right) - 3 \left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^5 \left(\left(3 \, M_{112} - M_{222}\right) f_{1,2,0,0,1,2} \right. \\ &+ \left(3 \, M_{133} - 3 \, M_{122}\right) f_{1,2,0,0,0,1} - 6 \, M_{123} f_{0,3,1,2,1,1} + 6 \, M_{123} f_{0,1,1,2,1,1} \\ &+ \left(2 \, M_{223} - 2 \, M_{113}\right) f_{1,0,1,1,1,1} + \left(-3 \, M_{122} + \, M_{111}\right) f_{0,3,0,3,1,2} \\ &+ \left(3 \, M_{233} - 3 \, M_{112}\right) f_{1,2,0,2,1,0} + \left(M_{222} - 3 \, M_{112}\right) f_{1,0,0,2,1,2} \\ &- 4 \, M_{123} f_{1,0,1,1,0,2} - 6 \, M_{123} f_{1,2,1,1,0,0} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ (-6\,M_{122} - 3\,M_{133} + 3\,M_{111})\,f_{1,2,0,2,0,1} \\ &+ (-2\,M_{111} + 6\,M_{122})\,f_{0,1,0,1,1,2} + (-3\,M_{113} + 3\,M_{223})\,f_{0,1,1,2,0,2} \\ &+ (-M_{111} + 3\,M_{122})\,f_{1,2,0,0,0,3} + (-M_{111} + 3\,M_{122})\,f_{1,2,0,0,1,0} \\ &+ (3\,M_{223} - M_{333})\,f_{0,3,1,0,0,0} + (-2\,M_{223} + M_{333})\,f_{0,1,1,0,0,0} \\ &- M_{133}f_{1,0,0,0,0,1} + M_{233}f_{1,0,0,0,1,0} + (3\,M_{233} - 3\,M_{112})\,f_{0,3,0,3,0,1} \\ &+ (-3\,M_{233} + 3\,M_{222} - 6\,M_{112})\,f_{0,3,0,1,0,1} + (-3\,M_{233} + 3\,M_{112})\,f_{0,1,0,3,0,1} \\ &+ (3\,M_{112} - M_{222})\,f_{0,3,0,3,0,3} + (-3\,M_{122} + M_{111})\,f_{1,0,0,2,0,3} \\ &+ (-3\,M_{113} + M_{333})\,f_{0,3,1,2,0,0} + (M_{222} - 3\,M_{112})\,f_{0,1,0,3,0,3} \\ &+ (9\,M_{112} - 3\,M_{222})\,f_{0,3,0,1,0,3} + (3\,M_{113} - 3\,M_{223})\,f_{0,3,1,0,0,2} \\ &+ (3\,M_{233} + 4\,M_{112} - 2\,M_{222})\,f_{0,1,0,1,0,1} + (-6\,M_{112} + 2\,M_{222})\,f_{0,1,0,1,0,3} \\ &+ (2\,M_{223} - 2\,M_{113})\,f_{0,1,1,0,0,2} + (M_{133} + 2\,M_{122} - M_{111})\,f_{1,0,0,2,0,1} \\ &+ (M_{112} - M_{233})\,f_{1,0,0,2,1,0} + (3\,M_{122} - 3\,M_{133})\,f_{0,3,0,1,1,0} \\ &+ (-2\,M_{122} + 3\,M_{133})\,f_{0,1,0,1,1,0} + (-3\,M_{133} + M_{111})\,f_{0,1,0,3,1,0} \\ &+ (3\,M_{133} - M_{111})\,f_{0,3,0,3,1,0} + (-M_{333} + 3\,M_{113})\,f_{0,1,1,2,0,0} \\ &+ 2\,M_{123}f_{1,0,1,1,0,0} + (9\,M_{112} - 3\,M_{222})\,f_{1,2,0,2,1,2} \\ &+ (6\,M_{113} - 6\,M_{223})\,f_{1,2,1,1,1,1} + 12\,M_{123}f_{1,2,1,1,0,2} \\ &+ (3\,M_{111} - 9\,M_{122})\,f_{0,3,0,1,1,2} - 6\,M_{123}f_{0,3,1,0,1,1} \\ &+ 4\,M_{123}f_{0,1,1,0,1,1} + (3\,M_{113} - 3\,M_{223})\,f_{0,3,1,2,0,2}) \end{split}$$

$$B_{\eta} = \left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^3 \left(M_1 f_{0,0,1,0,1,0} + M_2 f_{0,0,1,0,0,1} - M_3 f_{0,0,0,1,0,0}\right)$$
$$- 2 \left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^4 \left(\left(M_{22} - M_{11}\right) f_{0,1,1,0,1,1} - 2 M_{12} f_{0,1,1,0,0,2} + M_{13} f_{0,1,0,1,0,1}\right)$$
$$+ M_{12} f_{0,1,1,0,0,0} - M_{23} f_{0,1,0,1,1,0} + \left(M_{33} - M_{11}\right) f_{1,0,1,1,0,0}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ (M_{11} - M_{22}) f_{1,0,1,1,0,2} - 2 M_{12} f_{1,0,1,1,1} + 2 M_{13} f_{1,0,0,2,1,0} \\ &+ 2 M_{23} f_{1,0,0,2,0,1} - M_{13} f_{1,0,0,0,1,0} - M_{23} f_{1,0,0,0,0,1}) \\ &- 3 \left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^5 \left( \left(-3 M_{112} + 3 M_{233}\right) f_{0,0,1,2,0,1} - 4 M_{123} f_{1,1,0,2,0,0} \\ &+ \left(3 M_{112} - M_{222}\right) f_{0,0,1,2,0,3} + \left(M_{222} - 3 M_{112}\right) f_{0,2,1,0,0,3} \\ &+ \left(-M_{222} + 2 M_{112} + M_{233}\right) f_{0,2,1,0,0,1} + \left(M_{133} - M_{122}\right) f_{0,2,1,0,1,0} \\ &+ \left(3 M_{113} - 3 M_{223}\right) f_{0,2,0,3,0,2} + \left(M_{223} - M_{113}\right) f_{0,2,0,1,0,2} \\ &+ \left(M_{111} - 3 M_{122}\right) f_{0,0,1,2,1,2} + \left(M_{111} - 3 M_{133}\right) f_{0,2,1,2,1,0} \\ &+ \left(M_{222} - 3 M_{112}\right) f_{0,2,1,2,0,3} + \left(-M_{111} + 3 M_{122}\right) f_{0,2,1,2,1,2} \\ &+ \left(3 M_{112} - 3 M_{233}\right) f_{0,2,1,2,0,1} - 4 M_{123} f_{0,0,0,1,1,1} \\ &+ \left(2 M_{112} - 2 M_{233}\right) f_{1,1,1,1,0,0} + \left(-2 M_{111} + 2 M_{133} + 4 M_{122}\right) f_{1,1,1,1,0,1} \\ &+ \left(2 M_{111} - 6 M_{122}\right) f_{1,1,1,1,0,3} + \left(-6 M_{112} + 2 M_{222}\right) f_{1,1,1,1,1,2} \\ &+ \left(4 M_{113} - 4 M_{223}\right) f_{1,0,2,1,1} - 4 M_{123} f_{1,0,0,0,2} + 8 M_{123} f_{1,1,0,2,0,2} \\ &+ \left(-M_{111} + 3 M_{122}\right) f_{0,2,1,0,1,2} + 2 M_{123} f_{0,2,0,1,1,1} \\ &+ \left(-3 M_{113} + M_{333}\right) f_{0,2,0,3,0,0} + \left(-M_{333} + M_{223} + 2 M_{113}\right) f_{0,2,0,1,0,0} \\ &- M_{233} f_{0,0,1,0,0,1} - M_{133} f_{0,0,1,0,0} + \left(-2 M_{113} + 3 M_{223}\right) f_{1,1,0,0,1,1} \\ &+ \left(2 M_{113} - M_{333}\right) f_{0,0,0,3,0,0} + \left(M_{333} - 2 M_{113}\right) f_{0,0,0,1,0,0} \\ &+ 6 M_{123} f_{0,0,0,3,1,1} + \left(3 M_{133} - M_{111}\right) f_{0,0,1,2,1,0} \\ &- 6 M_{123} f_{0,0,0,3,1,1} + 2 M_{123} f_{1,1,0,0,0,0} \\ \end{aligned}$$

$$B_{\zeta} = 2\left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^3 \left(M_1 f_{0,1,0,0,0,1} - M_2 f_{0,1,0,0,1,0} + M_1 f_{1,0,0,1,1,0} + M_2 f_{1,0,0,1,0,1}\right)$$
$$+ M_3 f_{1,0,1,0,0,0} + 3\left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^4 \left(M_{33} f_{0,0,0,0,0,0} + 2M_{13} f_{0,0,1,1,1,0}\right)$$

 $+(-M_{33}+M_{11})f_{0.0,0.2,0.0}+2M_{23}f_{0.0,1,1,0.1}-2M_{12}f_{0.2,0.0,1,1}$  $+(M_{22}-M_{11})f_{0.0,0.2,0.2}+2M_{12}f_{0.0,0.2,1,1}+(-M_{11}+M_{33})f_{0.2,0.2,0,0}$  $+(M_{11}-M_{22})f_{0,2,0,0,0,2}-2M_{12}f_{1,1,0,1,0,0}+(M_{11}-M_{22})f_{0,2,0,2,0,2}$  $+ (2 M_{11} - 2 M_{22}) f_{1,1,0,1,1,1} + 4 M_{12} f_{1,1,0,1,0,2} + 2 M_{13} f_{1,1,1,0,0,1}$  $-2 M_{23} f_{1,1,1,0,1,0} - 2 M_{12} f_{0,2,0,2,1,1} - 2 M_{13} f_{0,2,1,1,1,0} - 2 M_{23} f_{0,2,1,1,0,1}$  $+ \left( M_{22} - M_{33} \right) f_{0,2,0,0,0,0} \right) + 4 \left( \frac{R_E}{R} \right)^5 \left( \left( 3 \, M_{122} - 3 \, M_{133} \right) f_{0,3,0,0,0,1} \right)$  $+ (3 M_{222} - 9 M_{112}) f_{0,3,0,2,1,2} + (-M_{111} + 3 M_{133}) f_{1,2,0,3,1,0}$  $+(-M_{111}+3M_{122})f_{1,0,0,3,1,2}-3M_{233}f_{0,1,0,0,1,0}-6M_{123}f_{1,2,1,0,1,1}$  $+6 M_{123} f_{0,3,1,1,0,0} - 6 M_{123} f_{1,2,1,2,1,1} + (-3 M_{222} + 9 M_{112}) f_{1,2,0,1,0,3}$  $+ (M_{111} - 3 M_{122}) f_{1,2,0,3,1,2} + (-3 M_{133} + 3 M_{122}) f_{1,2,0,1,1,0}$  $+ (-3 M_{233} + 3 M_{222} - 6 M_{112}) f_{1,2,0,1,0,1} - 12 M_{123} f_{0,3,1,1,0,2}$  $+ (6 M_{223} - 6 M_{113}) f_{0.3,1,1,1,1} + 3 M_{233} f_{1,0,0,1,0,1}$  $+(9 M_{122} - 3 M_{111}) f_{0,1,0,2,0,3} + (-3 M_{112} + M_{222}) f_{0,3,0,0,1,2}$  $+3 M_{133} f_{1,0,0,1,1,0} + 6 M_{123} f_{1,0,1,2,1,1} + (M_{111} - 3 M_{122}) f_{0,3,0,0,0,3}$  $+(-6 M_{223}+6 M_{113}) f_{0.1,1,1,1,1}+12 M_{123} f_{0.1,1,1,0,2}$  $+(-M_{333}+3M_{113})f_{1,0,1,2,0,0}+(3M_{233}-3M_{112})f_{1,2,0,3,0,1}$  $+(3 M_{113} - 3 M_{223}) f_{1,2,1,2,0,2} + (-3 M_{233} + 3 M_{112}) f_{0,3,0,2,1,0}$  $+(-3M_{133}+M_{111})f_{1,0,0,3,1,0}+(M_{333}-3M_{113})f_{1,2,1,2,0,0}$  $+ (3 M_{233} - 3 M_{112}) f_{0,1,0,2,1,0} + (3 M_{223} - M_{333}) f_{1,2,1,0,0,0}$  $+3 M_{133} f_{0,1,0,0,0,1} + (3 M_{113} - 3 M_{223}) f_{1,2,1,0,0,2}$  $+ (3 M_{233} - M_{222}) f_{0,3,0,0,1,0} + (-3 M_{233} + 3 M_{112}) f_{1,0,0,3,0,1}$  $+ (3 M_{111} - 9 M_{122}) f_{1,2,0,1,1,2} + (-3 M_{112} + M_{222}) f_{1,0,0,3,0,3}$  $+ (-3 M_{222} + 9 M_{112}) f_{0,1,0,2,1,2} + (-3 M_{133} + 3 M_{111} - 6 M_{122}) f_{0,1,0,2,0,1}$  $-6 M_{123} f_{0.1,1,1,0,0} + (3 M_{223} - 3 M_{113}) f_{1,0,1,2,0,2}$  $+ (3 M_{111} - 9 M_{122}) f_{0.3,0,2,0,3} + (3 M_{112} - M_{222}) f_{1,2,0,3,0,3}$  $+(6 M_{122} - 3 M_{111} + 3 M_{133}) f_{0,3,0,2,0,1} + M_{333} f_{1,0,1,0,0,0}),$ (10) where  $f_{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4,i_5,i_6} = \sin^{i_1}(u)\cos^{i_2}(u)\sin^{i_3}(i)\cos^{i_4}(i)\sin^{i_5}(\phi)\cos^{i_6}(\phi)$ .

It is shown in [3] that the moment  $\vec{M}_L$  of Lorentz forces can considerably exceed gravitational and other perturbing moments and can be used as a restoring moment in the system of spacecraft orientation.

### 3 Control synthesis

Let the program orientation of the spacecraft in the orbital coordinate system is prescribed by some value of the matrix of direction cosines  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_0 = \text{const.}$ In particular, the matrix  $\mathbf{A}_0$  can be unitary. Substituting  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_0$  into expression (1), we obtain the values of the vector  $\vec{P}$  such that  $\vec{M}_L$  becomes zero in the program orientation of the spacecraft, i.e., is a restoring moment in the neighborhood of the orientation  $\mathbf{A}_0$ . It is obvious that the vector  $\vec{P}$ must comply with the condition  $\vec{P} = k_L \vec{T}_0$ , where  $k_L = k_L(t)$  is an arbitrary scalar function,  $\vec{T}_0 = \mathbf{A}_0^{\top}(\vec{v}_C \times \vec{B})$ . Components of the vector  $\vec{P}$  are specified by the formulas

$$P_{x} = k_{L}(t)[\alpha_{10}v_{C\eta}B_{\zeta} - \beta_{10}v_{C\xi}B_{\zeta} + \gamma_{10}(v_{C\xi}B_{\eta} - v_{C\eta}B_{\xi})],$$

$$P_{y} = k_{L}(t)[\alpha_{20}v_{C\eta}B_{\zeta} - \beta_{20}v_{C\xi}B_{\zeta} + \gamma_{20}(v_{C\xi}B_{\eta} - v_{C\eta}B_{\xi})],$$

$$P_{z} = k_{L}(t)[\alpha_{30}v_{C\eta}B_{\zeta} - \beta_{30}v_{C\xi}B_{\zeta} + \gamma_{30}(v_{C\xi}B_{\eta} - v_{C\eta}B_{\xi})].$$
(11)

Hence, if the coordinates of the center of charge of the spacecraft will change according to law (11), then the moment  $\vec{M}_L$  will be restoring in the neighborhood of the prescribed position and can be used for maintaining the prescribed orientation of the spacecraft. Equalities (11) can be considered as a control law for the position of the center of charge for performing the prescribed orientation of the spacecraft. Further in the paper we shall assume for distinctness that  $k_L(t) = k_L = \text{const.}$ 

The dependencies of the maximum values of  $|\vec{\rho}_0|$  (and, hence, of  $|\vec{P}|$ ) for different parameters of orbits were computed in [7]; also, there was demonstrated that  $|\vec{\rho}_0|$  assumes values that are small comparing with the sizes of the spacecraft or comparable with them. Therefore, the practical realization of the spacecraft attitude orientation using the moment of Lorentz forces does not present serious difficulties.

We shall note the following functional particular features of the systems using  $\vec{M}_L$  for the spacecraft attitude stabilization. The first of them is that  $\vec{M}_L$  is orthogonal to the vector  $\vec{T} = \mathbf{A}^{\top}(\vec{v}_C \times \vec{B})$  and, therefore, it is impossible to create a control moment of Lorentz forces directed along the vector  $\vec{T}$ . The second one is that  $|\vec{M}_L|$  is proportional to  $|\vec{T}|$ . So the use of  $\vec{M}_L$  for the spacecraft attitude stabilization is most effective for spacecrafts on low inclined orbits because the averaged by orbital period values of  $|\vec{T}|$  for these orbits are larger than the same values for the orbits with large inclinations.

It should be emphasized that the program control of vector  $\vec{P}$  by ensuring the validity of equalities (11) is based on the use of predetermined laws of variation for vectors  $\vec{v}_C$  and  $\vec{B}$  as the functions of time and so it does not require the measuring of any physical properties on the board of spacecraft during the stabilization process.

Let us consider the moment of magnetic interaction  $\vec{M}_M$ . Neglecting the spacecraft magnetization in geomagnetic field we define  $\vec{M}_M$  according to the formula [1, 2]:

$$\vec{M}_M = \vec{I} \times \mathbf{A}^\top \vec{B},\tag{12}$$

where vector  $\vec{B}$  is defined by its projections on the axes of the orbital coordinate system  $C\xi\eta\zeta$  (Fig.2), the eigen magnetic moment vector  $\vec{I}$  is defined by its projections on the spacecraft principal central axes of inertia Cxyz(Fig.2). As is known, the moment of magnetic interaction can be used for the stabilization of the spacecraft angular position. Indeed, the moment of magnetic interaction is restoring in vicinity of equilibrium position  $\mathbf{A}_0$  by analogy with the Lorentz moment if vector  $\vec{I}$  changes in program way according to the law  $\vec{I} = k_M \vec{B}_0$ , where  $\vec{B}_0 = \mathbf{A}_0^{\top} \vec{B}$  and  $k_M$  is some scalar coefficient of proportionality. In scalar form vector  $\vec{I}$  becomes

$$I_{x} = k_{M}(t) \left[ \alpha_{10}B_{\xi} + \beta_{10}B_{\eta} + \gamma_{10}B_{\zeta} \right],$$
  

$$I_{y} = k_{M}(t) \left[ \alpha_{20}B_{\xi} + \beta_{20}B_{\eta} + \gamma_{20}B_{\zeta} \right],$$
  

$$I_{z} = k_{M}(t) \left[ \alpha_{30}B_{\xi} + \beta_{30}B_{\eta} + \gamma_{30}B_{\zeta} \right].$$
  
(13)

Equalities (13) may be considered as the control law for the spacecraft eigen magnetic moment vector in execution of the orientation defined by matrix  $\mathbf{A}_0$ . Further in the paper we shall assume for distinctness that  $k_M(t) = k_M =$ const.

The magnetic control systems can be successfully used on long time functioning spacecrafts as they are sufficiently simple, possesses high reliability and don't need fuel consumption [1, 2].

At the same time the magnetic control systems possesses some specific features which limits their possibilities. Firstly, it follows from (12) that the moment of magnetic interaction is orthogonal to vector  $\vec{B}$  and therefore it is impossible to create the control moment directed along vector  $\vec{B}$ . Secondly,

 $|\vec{M}_M|$  is proportional to  $|\vec{B}|$ . Therefore the magnetic control systems are used mainly on the orbits with large inclinations since the averaged by orbital period values of  $|\vec{B}|$  for these orbits are larger than the same values for the orbits with small inclinations.

It should be emphasized that the program control of vector  $\vec{I}$  by ensuring the validity of equalities (13) is based on the use of predetermined law of variation for vector  $\vec{B}$  as the functions of time and so it does not require the measuring of vector  $\vec{B}$  on the board of spacecraft during the stabilization process. Let us remind that the octupole approximation is used for vector  $\vec{B}$ , describing the geomagnetic field.

After comparing the mentioned above functional features of magnetic control systems and systems using the moment  $\vec{M}_L$  and shortcomings that follow from them, it is easy to see that these shortcomings disappear when a unified electrodynamic control system for spacecraft attitude orientation is created using simultaneously both restoring moments:

$$\vec{M}_L = k_L \vec{T}_0 \times \vec{T},\tag{14}$$

$$\vec{M}_M = k_M \vec{B}_0 \times \vec{B}.\tag{15}$$

Indeed, the first shortcomings consisting in the presence of the directions such that the uncontrolled rotation of the spacecraft is possible along them (the directions  $\vec{T}$  and  $\vec{B}$ ) disappear, when  $\vec{M}_L$  and  $\vec{M}_M$  are united in one electrodynamic control system. This is explained by the fact that the vectors  $\vec{T}$  and  $\vec{B}$  are always orthogonal. The second of the mentioned above shortcomings are naturally compensate each other and therefore the orbit inclination is not the limiting factor for the control possibilities if the satellite is supplied with electrodynamic control system.

### 4 The differential equations of motion

Let us consider the question of spacecraft attitude stabilization in the direct equilibrium position in the orbital coordinate system, i.e., in such position when the axes x, y, z coincide with the axes  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\zeta$  and hence  $\varphi = \theta = \psi = 0$ . At the same time

$$\alpha_1 = \beta_2 = \gamma_3 = 1, \ \omega_x = \omega_z = 0, \\ \omega_y = \omega_0.$$
(16)

Substituting (16) into (11) we obtain the law of controlled changing for the center of charge coordinates for the case of the direct equilibrium position in the form:

$$x_0 = k_L v_{C\eta} B_{\zeta}, \quad y_0 = -k_L v_{C\xi} B_{\zeta}, \quad z_0 = k_L (v_{C\xi} B_{\eta} - v_{C\eta} B_{\xi}).$$
(17)

On the base of expression (14) the projections  $M_{Lx}$ ,  $M_{Ly}$ ,  $M_{Lz}$  of the restoring moment  $\vec{M}_L$  under conditions of control (17) have the following form:

$$M_{Lx} = Qk_L \Big[ B_{\zeta} ((\beta_2 - \gamma_3) v_{C\xi} - \alpha_2 v_{C\eta}) (v_{C\xi} B_{\eta} - v_{C\eta} B_{\xi}) - \gamma_2 (v_{C\xi} B_{\eta} - v_{C\eta} B_{\xi})^2 + v_{C\xi} B_{\zeta}^2 (\beta_3 v_{C\xi} - \alpha_3 v_{C\eta}) \Big],$$
(18)

$$M_{Ly} = Qk_L \Big[ B_{\zeta} ((\alpha_1 - \gamma_3) v_{C\eta} - \beta_1 v_{C\xi}) (v_{C\xi} B_{\eta} - v_{C\eta} B_{\xi}) + \gamma_1 (v_{C\xi} B_{\eta} - v_{C\eta} B_{\xi})^2 + v_{C\eta} B_{\zeta}^2 (\beta_3 v_{C\xi} - \alpha_3 v_{C\eta}) \Big],$$
(19)

$$M_{Lz} = Qk_L B_{\zeta} \Big[ (\gamma_1 v_{C\xi} + \gamma_2 v_{C\eta}) (v_{C\xi} B_{\eta} - v_{C\eta} B_{\xi}) \\ + B_{\zeta} (v_{C\xi} v_{C\eta} (\alpha_1 - \beta_2) + (v_{C\eta}^2 \alpha_2 - \beta_1 v_{C\xi}^2)) \Big].$$
(20)

Similarly substituting (16) into (13) we obtain the law of controlled changing for the coordinates of vector  $\vec{I}$  in the form:

$$I_x = k_M B_{\xi}, \quad I_y = k_M B_{\eta}, \quad I_z = k_M B_{\zeta}.$$
<sup>(21)</sup>

On the basis of expression (15) the projections  $M_{Mx}$ ,  $M_{My}$ ,  $M_{Mz}$  of the restoring moment  $\vec{M}_M$  in conditions of control (21) have the following form:

$$M_{Mx} = k_M \left[ B_\eta \left( \alpha_3 B_\xi + \beta_3 B_\eta + \gamma_3 B_\zeta \right) - B_\zeta \left( \alpha_2 B_\xi + \beta_2 B_\eta + \gamma_2 B_\zeta \right) \right],$$
  

$$M_{My} = k_M \left[ B_\zeta \left( \alpha_1 B_\xi + \beta_1 B_\eta + \gamma_1 B_\zeta \right) - B_\xi \left( \alpha_3 B_\xi + \beta_3 B_\eta + \gamma_3 B_\zeta \right) \right],$$
  

$$M_{Mz} = k_M \left[ B_\xi \left( \alpha_2 B_\xi + \beta_2 B_\eta + \gamma_2 B_\zeta \right) - B_\eta \left( \alpha_1 B_\xi + \beta_1 B_\eta + \gamma_1 B_\zeta \right) \right].$$

Let the spacecraft attitude control system has also the damping moment  $\vec{M}_D$ . Without going into details of technical realization of the oscillations damping system we shall consider the moment  $\vec{M}_D$  of model type, for example proportional to the spacecraft relative angular velocity in the orbital frame:  $\vec{M}_D = -\vec{H}_D \vec{\omega'}$ , where  $\vec{H}_D = \text{diag}(h_1, h_2, h_3), h_i > 0$   $(i = 1, 2, 3), \vec{\omega'} = \vec{\omega} - \vec{\omega_0}$ , and  $\vec{\omega}$  is the absolute spacecraft angular velocity. Then

$$M_{Dx} = -h_1(\omega_x - \omega_0\beta_1), \ M_{Dy} = -h_2(\omega_y - \omega_0\beta_2), \ M_{Dz} = -h_3(\omega_z - \omega_0\beta_3).$$
(22)

140

We shall take into account the gravitational moment  $\overline{M}_G$  as the largest of disturbing moments acting upon spacecraft.

Let us prove that the suggested control (17), (21) in presence of damping (22) in the attitude control system ensures the existence and asymptotic stability of the satellite's direct equilibrium position in the case of small orbit inclinations and the total stability in other cases, i.e. solve the problem of the spacecraft attitude stabilization in the orbital frame.

Differential equations of the spacecraft attitude motion under the influence of control moments (14), (15) are constructed according to the Euler-Poisson scheme:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{J}\vec{\omega}) + \vec{\omega} \times (\mathbf{J}\vec{\omega}) = \vec{M}_L + \vec{M}_M + \vec{M}_D + \vec{M}_G,$$

$$\frac{d\vec{\xi_0}}{dt} = \vec{\xi_0} \times \vec{\omega} - \omega_0 \vec{\zeta_0}, \quad \frac{d\vec{\eta_0}}{dt} = \vec{\eta_0} \times \vec{\omega}, \quad \frac{d\vec{\zeta_0}}{dt} = \vec{\zeta_0} \times \vec{\omega} + \omega_0 \vec{\xi_0},$$
(23)

where  $\mathbf{J} = \text{diag}(A, B, C)$  is the spacecraft inertia tensor in the coordinate system Cxyz.

While considering small spacecraft oscillations in the neighborhood of the direct equilibrium position, the assumption that the angles  $\varphi, \theta, \psi$  and their time derivatives are small is true. At the same time, the moments  $\vec{M}_L$ ,  $\vec{M}_M$ ,  $\vec{M}_G$ ,  $\vec{M}_D$  can be extended into series according to degrees of these small quantities. As a result, we obtain their projections accurate within the terms of the second order of smallness in the form

$$M_{Lx} = l_{11}(t)\varphi + l_{12}(t)\theta + l_{13}(t)\psi, \quad M_{Mx} = b_{11}(t)\varphi + b_{12}(t)\theta + b_{13}(t)\psi,$$
  

$$M_{Ly} = l_{12}(t)\varphi + l_{22}(t)\theta + l_{23}(t)\psi, \quad M_{My} = b_{12}(t)\varphi + b_{22}(t)\theta + b_{23}(t)\psi,$$
  

$$M_{Lz} = l_{13}(t)\varphi + l_{23}(t)\theta + l_{33}(t)\psi, \quad M_{Mz} = b_{13}(t)\varphi + b_{23}(t)\theta + b_{33}(t)\psi,$$
  
(24)

$$M_{Gx} = 3\omega_0^2 (C - B)\varphi, \qquad M_{Dx} = -h_1 \dot{\varphi},$$
  

$$M_{Gy} = 3\omega_0^2 (C - A)\theta, \qquad M_{Dy} = -h_2 \dot{\theta},$$
  

$$M_{Gz} = 0, M_{Dz} = -h_3 \dot{\psi},$$
(25)

where

$$\begin{split} l_{11}(t) &= -Qk_L \left[ (v_{C\eta}B_{\xi} - v_{C\xi}B_{\eta})^2 + v_{C\xi}^2 B_{\zeta}^2 \right], \\ l_{12}(t) &= l_{21}(t) = -Qk_L v_{C\xi} v_{C\eta} B_{\zeta}^2, \\ l_{13}(t) &= l_{31}(t) = Qk_L v_{C\eta} B_{\zeta} (v_{C\xi}B_{\eta} - v_{C\eta}B_{\xi}), \\ l_{22}(t) &= -Qk_L \left[ (v_{C\eta}B_{\xi} - v_{C\xi}B_{\eta})^2 + v_{C\eta}^2 B_{\zeta}^2 \right], \\ l_{23}(t) &= l_{32}(t) = -Qk_L v_{C\xi} B_{\zeta} (v_{C\xi}B_{\eta} - v_{C\eta}B_{\xi}), \\ l_{33}(t) &= -Qk_L (v_{C\xi}^2 + v_{C\eta}^2) B_{\zeta}^2. \\ b_{11}(t) &= -k_M (B_{\eta}^2 + B_{\zeta}^2), \ b_{12}(t) = b_{21}(t) = k_M B_{\xi} B_{\eta}, \\ l_{13}(t) &= l_{31}(t) = k_M B_{\xi} B_{\zeta}, \ b_{22}(t) = -k_M (B_{\xi}^2 + B_{\zeta}^2), \\ b_{23}(t) &= b_{32}(t) = k_M B_{\eta} B_{\zeta}, \ b_{33}(t) = -k_M (B_{\xi}^2 + B_{\eta}^2). \end{split}$$

The Euler equations of motion (23) in the matrix form will look like

$$\mathbf{J}\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{\varphi}\\ \ddot{\theta}\\ \ddot{\psi} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{H}\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\varphi}\\ \dot{\theta}\\ \dot{\psi} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{M}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi\\ \theta\\ \psi \end{pmatrix} + \vec{X} = 0, \qquad (26)$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0\\ 0 & B & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & 0 & \omega_0(A - B + C)\\ 0 & h_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where 
$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & 0 & \omega_0(A - B + C) \\ 0 & h_2 & 0 \\ -\omega_0(A - B + C) & 0 & h_3 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 4\omega_0^2(B-C) - l_{11}(t) - b_{11}(t) & -l_{12}(t) - b_{12}(t) & -l_{13}(t) - b_{13}(t) \\ -l_{21}(t) - b_{21}(t) & 3\omega_0^2(A-C) - l_{22}(t) - b_{22}(t) & -l_{23}(t) - b_{23}(t) \\ -l_{31}(t) - b_{31}(t) & -l_{32}(t) - b_{32}(t) & \omega_0^2(B-A) - l_{33}(t) - b_{33}(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

 $\vec{X}$  is a vector with the components  $X_j(t,\varphi,\theta,\psi)$   $(j = \overline{1,3})$ , nonlinearly dependent on  $\varphi, \theta, \psi$ .

# 5 Analysis of stabilization process at the orbits of small inclinations

The components  $m_{ij}(t)$  of matrix **M**, depending, generally speaking, on the small parameter  $\sin i$ , we represent as the sum of the terms  $m_{ij}^{(0)}(t)$ , independent on  $\sin(i)$ , and the terms  $m_{ij}^{(1)}(t)$ , containing small parameter  $\sin i$  as

142

multiplier. Then  $l_{ij}(t) = l_{ij}^{(0)}(t) + \sin i \cdot l_{ij}^{(1)}(t)$ ,  $b_{ij}(t) = b_{ij}^{(0)}(t) + \sin i \cdot b_{ij}^{(1)}(t)$ . Therefore

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{(0)} + \sin i \cdot \mathbf{M}^{(1)} = \operatorname{diag}(4\omega_0^2(B-C), 3\omega_0^2(A-C), \omega_0^2(B-A)) - [(l_{ij}^{(0)}(t)) + (b_{ij}^{(0)}(t))] + \sin i \cdot [-(l_{ij}^{(1)}(t)) - (b_{ij}^{(1)}(t))].$$
(27)

Consider the system of linear approximation of equations (26) at i = 0 (the spacecraft orbit is equatorial):

$$\mathbf{J}\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{\varphi}\\ \ddot{\theta}\\ \ddot{\psi} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{H}\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\varphi}\\ \dot{\theta}\\ \dot{\psi} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{M}^{(0)}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi\\ \theta\\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$
(28)

In this case the equalities  $v_{C\xi} = R(\omega_0 - \omega_3)$ ,  $v_{C\eta} = v_{C\zeta} = 0$  are valid on the basis of (2). Relying on (8) – (10) we have the following projections of vector  $\vec{B}$  on the axes of orbital frame in octupole approximation at i = 0:

$$B_{\xi} = \left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^3 \left(g_1^1 \sin u_0 - h_1^1 \sin u_0\right) + \sqrt{3} \left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^4 \left(g_2^2 \sin(2u_0) - h_2^2 \cos(2u_0)\right) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4} \left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^5 \left(\sqrt{15}g_3^3 \sin(3u_0) -g_3^1 \sin u_0 + h_3^1 \cos u_0 - \sqrt{15}h_3^3 \cos(3u_0)\right),$$
(29)

$$B_{\eta} = -\left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^3 g_0^1 - \sqrt{3} \left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^4 \left(g_2^1 \cos u_0 + h_2^1 \sin u_0\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^5 \left(\sqrt{15}g_3^2 \cos(2u_0) + \sqrt{15}h_3^2 \sin(2u_0) - 3g_3^0\right),$$
(30)  
$$B_{\zeta} = 2 \left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^3 \left(g_1^1 \cos u_0 + h_1^1 \sin u_0\right) + \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^4 \left(\sqrt{3}g_2^2 \cos(2u_0) + \sqrt{3}h_2^2 \sin(2u_0) - g_2^0\right) + \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^5 \left(\sqrt{5}g_3^3 \cos(3u_0) + \sqrt{5}h_3^3 \sin(3u_0) - \sqrt{3}h_3^1 \sin(u_0) - \sqrt{3}g_3^1 \cos(u_0), \right)$$
(31)

where  $u_0 = u - \phi$ . Then

$$l_{11}^{(0)}(t) = -Qk_L v_{C\xi}^2 \left( B_{\eta}^2 + B_{\zeta}^2 \right), \quad l_{12}^{(0)}(t) = l_{21}^{(0)}(t) = l_{13}^{(0)}(t) = l_{31}^{(0)}(t) = 0,$$

Kirill A. Antipov, Alexey A. Tikhonov

$$l_{22}^{(0)}(t) = -Qk_L v_{C\xi}^2 B_{\eta}^2, \qquad \qquad l_{23}^{(0)}(t) = l_{32}^{(0)}(t) = -Qk_L v_{C\xi}^2 B_{\eta} B_{\zeta}, \\ l_{33}^{(0)}(t) = -Qk_L v_{C\xi}^2 B_{\zeta}^2.$$

Let us represent  $l_{ij}^{(0)}(t)$  and  $b_{ij}^{(0)}(t)$  in the form

$$l_{ij}^{(0)}(t) = l_{ij\,cp}^{(0)} + \tilde{l}_{ij}^{(0)}(t), \quad b_{ij}^{(0)}(t) = b_{ij\,cp}^{(0)} + \tilde{b}_{ij}^{(0)}(t), \tag{32}$$

where  $l_{ij\,cp}^{(0)} = \langle l_{ij}^{(0)}(t) \rangle_t$  and  $b_{ij\,cp}^{(0)} = \langle l_{ij}^{(0)}(t) \rangle_t$  are the averaged values of functions  $l_{ij}^{(0)}(t)$  and  $b_{ij}^{(0)}(t)$  over t accordingly. Then

$$l_{11\,cp}^{(0)} = -Qk_L v_{C\xi}^2 (\langle B_{\eta}^2 \rangle_t + \langle B_{\zeta}^2 \rangle_t), \quad l_{22\,cp}^{(0)} = -Qk_L v_{C\xi}^2 \langle B_{\eta}^2 \rangle_t,$$

$$l_{23\,cp}^{(0)} = l_{32\,cp}^{(0)} = -Qk_L v_{C\xi}^2 \langle B_{\eta} B_{\zeta} \rangle_t, \quad l_{33\,cp}^{(0)} = -Qk_L v_{C\xi}^2 \langle B_{\zeta}^2 \rangle_t,$$

$$l_{12\,cp}^{(0)} = l_{21\,cp}^{(0)} = l_{13\,cp}^{(0)} = l_{31\,cp}^{(0)} = 0.$$
(33)

$$b_{11cp}^{(0)} = -k_M (\langle B_{\eta}^2 \rangle_t + \langle B_{\zeta}^2 \rangle_t), \quad b_{12cp}^{(0)} = b_{21cp}^{(0)} = k_M \langle B_{\xi} B_{\eta} \rangle_t, b_{13cp}^{(0)} = b_{31cp}^{(0)} = k_M \langle B_{\xi} B_{\zeta} \rangle_t, \quad b_{22cp}^{(0)} = -k_M (\langle B_{\xi}^2 \rangle_t + \langle B_{\zeta}^2 \rangle_t), b_{23cp}^{(0)} = b_{32cp}^{(0)} = k_M \langle B_{\eta} B_{\zeta} \rangle_t, \quad b_{33cp}^{(0)} = -k_M (\langle B_{\xi}^2 \rangle_t + \langle B_{\eta}^2 \rangle_t).$$
(34)

Let us calculate the necessary time averaged functions:

$$\begin{split} \left\langle B_{\eta}^{2} \right\rangle_{t} &= \left(\frac{R_{E}}{R}\right)^{6} g_{1}^{0^{2}} + \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{R_{E}}{R}\right)^{8} \left(g_{2}^{1^{2}} + h_{2}^{1^{2}} - 2g_{1}^{0}g_{3}^{0}\right) \\ &+ \frac{3}{8} \left(\frac{R_{E}}{R}\right)^{10} \left(5 \left(g_{3}^{2^{2}} + h_{3}^{2^{2}}\right) + 6 g_{3}^{0^{2}}\right), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \left\langle B_{\zeta}^{2} \right\rangle_{t} &= 2 \left( \frac{R_{E}}{R} \right)^{6} \left( g_{1}^{12} + h_{1}^{12} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{R_{E}}{R} \right)^{8} \left( 16\sqrt{6} \left( g_{1}^{1}g_{3}^{1} + h_{1}^{1}h_{3}^{1} \right) - 27 \left( g_{2}^{22} + h_{2}^{22} \right) - 18g_{2}^{02} \right) \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{R_{E}}{R} \right)^{10} \left( 5 \left( g_{3}^{32} + h_{3}^{32} \right) + 3 \left( g_{3}^{12} + h_{3}^{12} \right) \right) , \\ \left\langle B_{\eta} B_{\zeta} \right\rangle_{t} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{R_{E}}{R} \right)^{7} \left( 3g_{1}^{0}g_{2}^{0} - 2\sqrt{3} \left( h_{2}^{1}h_{1}^{1} + g_{2}^{1}g_{1}^{1} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{8} \left( \frac{R_{E}}{R} \right)^{9} \left( 4\sqrt{2} \left( g_{2}^{1}g_{3}^{1} + h_{2}^{1}h_{3}^{1} \right) - 3\sqrt{5} (g_{3}^{2}g_{2}^{2} + h_{3}^{2}h_{2}^{2} ) - 6g_{3}^{0}g_{2}^{0} \right) , \end{split}$$

144

$$\begin{split} \left\langle B_{\xi}^{2} \right\rangle_{t} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{R_{E}}{R} \right)^{6} \left( g_{1}^{12} + h_{1}^{12} \right) \\ &- \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4} \left( \frac{R_{E}}{R} \right)^{8} \left( g_{3}^{1} g_{1}^{1} + h_{3}^{1} h_{1}^{1} - \sqrt{6} \left( g_{2}^{22} + h_{2}^{22} \right) \right) \\ &+ \frac{3}{16} \left( \frac{R_{E}}{R} \right)^{10} \left( 15 \left( g_{3}^{32} + h_{3}^{32} \right) + g_{3}^{12} + h_{3}^{12} \right), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \langle B_{\xi}B_{\eta}\rangle_t &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^7 \left(g_1^1 h_2^1 - h_1^1 g_2^1\right) - \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{8} \left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^9 \\ &\times \left(\sqrt{10}(g_2^2 h_3^2 - h_2^2 g_3^2) - g_3^1 h_2^1 + h_3^1 g_2^1\right), \\ \langle B_{\xi}B_{\zeta}\rangle_t &= -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{4} \left(\frac{R_E}{R}\right)^8 \left(h_3^1 g_1^1 - g_3^1 h_1^1\right). \end{split}$$

In accordance with such expansion the matrix  ${\bf M}$  will have the form

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{(0)} + \sin i \, \mathbf{M}^{(1)}(t) = \mathbf{M}_{cp}^{(0)} + \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{(0)}(t) + \sin i \, \mathbf{M}^{(1)}(t), \qquad (35)$$

where the matrix  $\mathbf{M}_{cp}^{(0)}$  will look like

$$\mathbf{M}_{cp}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 4\omega_0^2(B-C) - l_{11\,cp}^{(0)} - b_{11\,cp}^{(0)} & -b_{12\,cp}^{(0)} & -b_{12\,cp}^{(0)} \\ -b_{21\,cp}^{(0)} & 3\omega_0^2(A-C) - l_{22\,cp}^{(0)} - b_{22\,cp}^{(0)} & -l_{23\,cp}^{(0)} - b_{23\,cp}^{(0)} \\ -b_{31\,cp}^{(0)} & -l_{32\,cp}^{(0)} - b_{32\,cp}^{(0)} & \omega_0^2(B-A) - l_{33\,cp}^{(0)} - b_{33\,cp}^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The inequalities

$$\begin{cases} 4\omega_0^2(B-C) - l_{11\,cp}^{(0)} - b_{11\,cp}^{(0)} > 0\\ (4\omega_0^2(B-C) - l_{11\,cp}^{(0)} - b_{11\,cp}^{(0)})(3\omega_0^2(A-C) - l_{22\,cp}^{(0)} - b_{22\,cp}^{(0)}) - (b_{12\,cp}^{(0)})^2 > 0\\ \det \mathbf{M}_{cp}^{(0)} > 0 \end{cases}$$
(36)

hold true, the matrix  $\mathbf{M}_{cp}^{(0)}$  is positive–definite and, hence, the zero solution of the system

$$\mathbf{J}\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{\varphi}\\ \ddot{\theta}\\ \ddot{\psi} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{H}\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\varphi}\\ \dot{\theta}\\ \dot{\psi} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{M}_{cp}^{(0)}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi\\ \theta\\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

is asymptotically stable.

In assumption that control moments  $\vec{M}_L$  and  $\vec{M}_M$  are absent, the spacecraft is under influence of gravitational moment  $\vec{M}_G$  only. In this case the inequalities (36) degenerates into the well-known Beletsky conditions [8] of spacecraft gravitational stabilization: B > A > C. The presence of control moments  $\vec{M}_L$  and  $\vec{M}_M$ , as is shown with the help of computer modeling, lead to essentially increasing of the region corresponding to Beletsky conditions. For example the region of validity of inequalities (36), constructed on the plane of dimensionless inertial parameters  $\delta = B/A$  and  $\varepsilon = C/A$ , is shown by horizontal shading in the Fig. 3 for the following parameters of spacecraft and its orbit:

$$R = 7 \cdot 10^{6} \text{ m}, \ i = 0 \text{ rad}, \ A = 10^{3} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{2}, \ Q = 5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ C},$$
  

$$k_{L} = 10 \text{ s/T}, \ k_{M} = 2 \cdot 10^{6} \text{ N} \cdot \text{m/T}^{2}$$
(37)

This region, evidently from the Fig. 3, is rather wide. This is the evidence of efficiency of proposed method for such parameters  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$ , which are beyond the bounds of Beletsky triangle ( $\delta > 1$ ,  $\varepsilon < 1$ ) [8], shown by vertical shading in the same figure.



Figure 3:

This implies that this method is applicable to the spacecraft that cannot be stabilized by the moment of gravitational forces. At the same time parameter  $k_M$ , taken in this example as equal to  $2 \cdot 10^6 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m/T}^2$ , ensures the arising of sufficiently small control moment  $\vec{M}_M$ , not even exceeding the gravitational moment  $\vec{M}_G$  in degree of value.

Comparing the parameters regions obtained in the case of joint use of the moments  $\vec{M}_M$  and  $\vec{M}_L$  (Fig. 3) with the corresponding regions constructed for the cases when only one of the moments  $\vec{M}_M$  or  $\vec{M}_L$  is in use, we notice the significantly increasing of the mentioned region in conditions of joint use of the moments. The results of computer modeling for parameters taken from (37) except the cases when one of the moments  $\vec{M}_M$  or  $\vec{M}_L$  is absent and correspondingly  $k_M = 0$  or  $k_L = 0$ , are shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 4:

The horizontal shading in this figure mark the region corresponding to the use of only one control moment  $\vec{M}_L$ , the vertical shading mark the region corresponding to the use of only one control moment  $\vec{M}_M$ , the grey color mark the region which arises only thanks to the joint use of the both control moments. The union of mentioned three regions give the resultant region of asymptotic stability, corresponding to the inequalities (36).

Further we consider the matrix  $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{(0)}(t) = (\widetilde{m}_{ij}^{(0)}(t))$  and estimate its

norm.

$$\begin{split} \|\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{(0)}(t)\| &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} |\widetilde{m}_{ij}^{(0)}(t)| = |m_{11}^{(0)}(t) - m_{11\,cp}^{(0)}| + 2|m_{12}^{(0)}(t) - m_{12\,cp}^{(0)}| \\ &+ 2|m_{13}^{(0)}(t) - m_{13\,cp}^{(0)}| + |m_{22}^{(0)}(t) - m_{22\,cp}^{(0)}| \\ &+ 2|m_{23}^{(0)}(t) - m_{23\,cp}^{(0)}| + |m_{33}^{(0)}(t) - m_{33\,cp}^{(0)}| \\ &= |l_{11\,cp}^{(0)} + b_{11\,cp}^{(0)} - l_{11}^{(0)}(t) - b_{11}^{(0)}(t)| + 2|b_{12\,cp}^{(0)} \\ &- b_{12}^{(0)}(t)| + 2|b_{13\,cp}^{(0)} - b_{13}^{(0)}(t)| + |l_{22\,cp}^{(0)} \\ &+ b_{22\,cp}^{(0)} - l_{22}^{(0)}(t) - b_{22}^{(0)}(t)| + 2|l_{23\,cp}^{(0)} + b_{23\,cp}^{(0)} - l_{23}^{(0)}(t)| \\ &- b_{23}^{(0)}(t)| + |l_{33\,cp}^{(0)} + b_{33\,cp}^{(0)} - l_{33}^{(0)}(t) - b_{33}^{(0)}(t)| \\ &= |(Qk_LR^2(\omega_0 - \omega_E)^2 + k_M)(B_\eta^2 + B_\zeta^2 - \langle B_\eta^2 \rangle_t \\ &- \langle B_\zeta^2 \rangle_t)| + 2|k_M(\langle B_\xi B_\eta \rangle_t - B_\xi B_\eta)| + 2|k_M(\langle B_\xi B_\zeta \rangle_t - B_\xi B_\zeta)| \\ &+ |Qk_LR^2(\omega_0 - \omega_E)^2(B_\eta^2 - \langle B_\eta^2 \rangle_t) + k_M(B_\xi^2 + B_\zeta^2 - \langle B_\xi^2 \rangle_t - \langle B_\zeta^2 \rangle_t)| \\ &+ 2|(Qk_LR^2(\omega_0 - \omega_E)^2 - k_M)(B_\eta B_\zeta - \langle B_\eta B_\zeta \rangle_t)| \\ &+ |Qk_LR^2(\omega_0 - \omega_E)^2(B_\zeta^2 - \langle B_\zeta^2 \rangle_t) + k_M(B_\xi^2 + B_\eta^2 - \langle B_\xi^2 \rangle_t - \langle B_\eta^2 \rangle_t)| \\ &< 6\left(R^2(\omega_0 - \omega_E)^2|Qk_L| + 2k_M\right)\left(B_\eta^2 + \langle B_\eta^2 \rangle_t\right\right) \\ &< 108\left((\omega_0 - \omega_E)^2|Qk_L| + 2k_M\right)g_1^0 \frac{2R_E^6}{R^4} \\ &\equiv C_1. \end{split}$$

Since  $C_1 = \text{const}$  depends only the matrix  $\mathbf{M}_{cp}^{(0)}$ , then the zero solution of differential system (28) is also asymptotically stable [10]. At that this asymptotical stability is uniform since the coefficients in the system (28) are almost-periodic in t. Furthermore the exponential asymptotic stability of the zero solution of the system (28) implies the exponential asymptotic stability of the zero solution of the initial nonlinear system (26) at i = 0 [11]. This prove the possibility of spacecraft stabilization at i = 0 with the help of the moments  $\vec{M}_L$  and  $\vec{M}_M$ . In more general case i.e. in the case of slightly inclined orbits  $(i \neq 0 \text{ but} \sin i \text{ is small})$  it is appropriate to write the differential equations of perturbed motion (26) in the form

$$\mathbf{J}\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{\varphi}\\ \ddot{\theta}\\ \ddot{\psi} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{H}\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\varphi}\\ \dot{\theta}\\ \dot{\psi} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{M}^{(0)}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi\\ \theta\\ \psi \end{pmatrix} + \sin i \cdot \mathbf{M}^{(1)}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi\\ \theta\\ \psi \end{pmatrix} + \vec{X} = 0.$$
(38)

According to the theorem of total stability [11] the uniform asymptotic stability of the zero solution of the system (28) is the sufficient condition of the total stability (the stability in the presence of constantly affecting perturbations) of this solution. As the constantly affecting perturbations one can consider small in their norm perturbations

$$f = (f_1, f_2, f_3)^{\top} = \sin i \, \mathbf{M}^{(1)}(\varphi, \theta, \psi)^{\top} + (X_1, X_2, X_3)^{\top}.$$
 (39)

Furthermore, the exponential asymptotic stability of the zero solution of the system (28) according to the inequalities

$$|f_j(t,\varphi,\psi,\theta)| < \sin i \left(|\varphi| + |\theta| + |\psi|\right) \quad (j = \overline{1,3}) \tag{40}$$

implies the asymptotic stability of the zero solution of the initial nonlinear system (26) for sufficiently small values of i [11].

Thus, it was proved the total stability of direct equilibrium position and asymptotic stability at sufficiently small values of i and therefore the adaptability of suggested stabilization method based on the joint use of the moments  $\vec{M}_M$  and  $\vec{M}_L$  is well founded for the orbits of small inclinations.

The computer modeling was implemented in order to confirm the efficiency of suggested stabilization method and approbate it for concrete values of parameters of spacecraft, its orbit and initial conditions of motion. The results shown in Fig.5, 6, 8 were obtained for the following parameters values:  $R = 7 \cdot 10^6$  m, i = 0 rad,  $A = 10^3$  kg  $\cdot$  m<sup>2</sup>,  $Q = 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$  C,  $\delta = 2.2$ ,  $\varepsilon = 1.4$ ,  $h_1 = h_2 = h_3 = h = 0.5A\omega_0$  kg  $\cdot$  m<sup>2</sup>/s,  $k_L = 10$  s/T,  $k_M = 2 \cdot 10^6$  N  $\cdot$  m/T<sup>2</sup>. The initial conditions of motion were chosen as follows  $\varphi(0) = 0.2$  rad,  $\psi(0) = -0.2$  rad,  $\theta(0) = 0.2$  rad,  $\omega_x(0) = 0.1\omega_0$ ,  $\omega_y(0) = 1.1\omega_0$ ,  $\omega_z(0) = 0.1\omega_0$ .

In Fig. 5 the graphs of "airborne" angles via the argument of a latitude are plotted for spacecraft under the action of control moments  $\vec{M}_L$  and  $\vec{M}_M$ . In Fig. 6 the graphs of quaternion components are plotted for the case of stabilized motion, and in Fig. 7 are the same components in the absence of control moments  $\vec{M}_L$  ( $k_L = 0$ ),  $\vec{M}_M$  ( $k_M = 0$ ) and the damping moment



Figure 7:



Figure 8:

 $\vec{M}_D$  (h = 0). The values of the moments  $\vec{M}_L$ ,  $\vec{M}_G$ ,  $\vec{M}_D$  and  $\vec{M}_M$ , acting upon the spacecraft in the process of stabilization, are shown in Fig. 8. It is obvious that the mentioned values are in such limits that make evident the operability of suggested method of attitude control. The comparison of obtained results with similar results obtained with the use of only one of the moments  $\vec{M}_L$  or  $\vec{M}_M$  is made. It is revealed that the joint use of both control moments  $\vec{M}_L$  and  $\vec{M}_M$  in electrodynamical attitude stability system result in reduce of the transition time.

## 6 Analysis of stabilization process at the orbits of mean and large inclinations

For substantiation of suggested attitude control method let us again turn to the dynamical Euler equations (26) and at first consider the system of linear approximation of these equations:

$$\mathbf{J}\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{\varphi}\\ \ddot{\theta}\\ \ddot{\psi} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{H}\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\varphi}\\ \dot{\theta}\\ \dot{\psi} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{M}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi\\ \theta\\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$
(41)

Represent the matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  in the form  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_{cp} + \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(t)$ . Here the matrix  $\mathbf{M}_{cp}$  is the result of time componentwise averaging of the matrix  $\mathbf{M}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbf{M}_{cp} = \begin{pmatrix} 4\omega_0^2(B-C) - l_{11cp} - b_{11cp} & -l_{12cp} - b_{12cp} & -l_{13cp} - b_{13cp} \\ -l_{21cp} - b_{21cp} & 3\omega_0^2(A-C) - l_{22cp} - b_{22cp} & -l_{23cp} - b_{23cp} \\ -l_{31cp} - b_{31cp} & -l_{32cp} - b_{32cp} & \omega_0^2(B-A) - l_{33cp} - b_{33cp} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$l_{11cp} = -Qk_L[\langle v_{C\eta}^2 B_{\xi}^2 \rangle_t - 2v_{C\xi} \langle v_{C\eta} B_{\xi} B_{\eta} \rangle_t + v_{C\xi}^2 (\langle B_{\eta}^2 \rangle_t + \langle B_{\zeta}^2 \rangle_t)], \quad (42)$$

$$l_{12cp} = l_{21cp} = -Qk_L v_{C\xi} \langle v_{C\eta} B_{\zeta}^2 \rangle_t, \tag{43}$$

$$l_{13cp} = l_{31cp} = Qk_L (v_{C\xi} \langle v_{C\eta} B_{\eta} B_{\zeta} \rangle_t - \langle v_{C\eta}^2 B_{\xi} B_{\zeta} \rangle_t), \qquad (44)$$

$$l_{22cp} = -Qk_L[\langle v_{C\eta}^2 B_{\xi}^2 \rangle_t - 2v_{C\xi} \langle v_{C\eta} B_{\xi} B_{\eta} \rangle_t + v_{C\xi}^2 \langle B_{\eta}^2 \rangle_t + \langle v_{C\eta}^2 B_{\zeta}^2 \rangle_t], \quad (45)$$

$$l_{23cp} = l_{32cp} = -Qk_L v_{C\xi} \langle v_{C\xi} \langle B_\eta B_\zeta \rangle_t - \langle v_{C\eta} B_\xi B_\zeta \rangle_t), \tag{46}$$

$$l_{33cp} = -Qk_L (v_{C\xi}^2 \langle B_{\zeta}^2 \rangle_t + \langle v_{C\eta}^2 B_{\zeta}^2 \rangle_t).$$

$$\tag{47}$$

$$\begin{split} b_{11cp} &= -k_M (\langle B_\eta^2 \rangle_t + \langle B_\zeta^2 \rangle_t), \quad b_{12cp} = b_{21cp} = k_M \langle B_\xi B_\eta \rangle_t, \\ b_{13cp} &= b_{31cp} = k_M \langle B_\xi B_\zeta \rangle_t, \qquad b_{22cp} = -k_M (\langle B_\xi^2 \rangle_t + \langle B_\zeta^2 \rangle_t), \\ b_{23cp} &= b_{32cp} = k_M \langle B_\eta B_\zeta \rangle_t, \qquad b_{33cp} = -k_M (\langle B_\xi^2 \rangle_t + \langle B_\eta^2 \rangle_t), \end{split}$$

The averaged values appearing in  $l_{ijcp}$  and  $b_{ijcp}$  are calculated with the use of components of vector  $\vec{B}$  in octupole approximation (8) – (10):

$$\begin{split} \langle B_{\eta}^{2} \rangle_{t} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{R_{E}}{R} \right)^{6} \left( \left( 2 g_{1}^{0^{2}} - g_{1}^{1^{2}} - h_{1}^{1^{2}} \right) \cos^{2}(i) + h_{1}^{1^{2}} + g_{1}^{1^{2}} \right) \\ &+ \frac{3}{8} \left( \frac{R_{E}}{R} \right)^{8} \left( \left( -12 g_{2}^{0^{2}} + 8 g_{2}^{1^{2}} + 5 \sqrt{6} \left( h_{3}^{1} h_{1}^{1} + g_{3}^{1} g_{1}^{1} \right) - 2 g_{2}^{2^{2}} + 8 h_{2}^{1^{2}} \right) \\ &- 20 g_{1}^{0} g_{3}^{0} - 2 h_{2}^{2^{2}} \right) \cos^{4}(i) + \left( 12 \left( g_{2}^{0^{2}} + g_{1}^{0} g_{3}^{0} \right) - 6 \sqrt{6} \left( h_{3}^{1} h_{1}^{1} + g_{3}^{1} g_{1}^{1} \right) \right) \\ &- 6 \left( g_{2}^{1^{2}} + h_{2}^{1^{2}} \right) \right) \cos^{2}(i) + 2(g_{2}^{1^{2}} + g_{2}^{2^{2}} + h_{2}^{1^{2}} + h_{2}^{2^{2}} \right) + \sqrt{6} \left( h_{3}^{1} h_{1}^{1} + g_{3}^{1} g_{1}^{1} \right) \right) \\ &+ \frac{3}{128} \left( \frac{R_{E}}{R} \right)^{10} \left( 45 \left( 20 g_{3}^{0^{2}} - \left( g_{3}^{3^{2}} + h_{3}^{3^{2}} \right) - 15 \left( h_{3}^{1^{2}} + g_{3}^{1^{2}} \right) \right) \\ &+ 6 \left( h_{3}^{2^{2}} + g_{3}^{2^{2}} \right) \right) \cos^{6}(i) + 5 \left( 3 \left( h_{3}^{3^{2}} + g_{3}^{3^{2}} \right) + 181 \left( g_{3}^{1^{2}} + h_{3}^{1^{2}} \right) \\ &- 52 \left( h_{3}^{2^{2}} + g_{3}^{2^{2}} \right) - 264 g_{3}^{0^{2}} \right) \cos^{4}(i) + 3 \left( 172 g_{3}^{0^{2}} + 10 \left( g_{3}^{2^{2}} + h_{3}^{2^{2}} \right) \\ &- 91 \left( h_{3}^{1^{2}} + g_{3}^{1^{2}} \right) - 5 \left( g_{3}^{3^{2}} + h_{3}^{3^{2}} \right) \right) \cos^{2}(i) \\ &+ 40 \left( h_{3}^{2^{2}} + g_{3}^{2^{2}} \right) + 45 \left( g_{3}^{3^{2}} + h_{3}^{3^{2}} \right) + 43 \left( h_{3}^{1^{2}} + g_{3}^{1^{2}} \right) \right) \end{split}$$
(48)

$$\begin{split} \langle v_{C\eta}^2 B_{\xi}^2 \rangle_t &= -\frac{\omega_E^2 \sin^2 i}{16} \frac{R_E^6}{R^4} \left( \left( 6\,g_1^{0^2} - 3\,g_1^{1^2} - 3\,h_1^{1^2} \right) \cos^2(i) - g_1^{1^2} - h_1^{1^2} \right. \\ &\quad -6\,g_1^{0^2} \right) - \frac{\omega_E^2 \sin^2 i}{64} \frac{R_E^6}{R^6} \left( 3 \left( 8 \left( h_2^{1^2} + g_2^{1^2} \right) - 2 \left( g_2^{2^2} + h_2^{2^2} \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad +5\,\sqrt{6} \left( h_3^1 h_1^1 + g_3^1 g_1^1 \right) - 12\,g_2^{0^2} - 20\,g_1^0 g_3^0 \right) \cos^4(i) + 2 \left( 36\,g_2^{0^2} + 24\,g_1^0 g_3^0 \right) \\ &\quad -7\,\sqrt{6} \left( h_3^1 h_1^1 + g_3^1 g_1^1 \right) - 18 \left( g_2^{2^2} + h_2^{2^2} \right) \right) \cos^2(i) + 7\,\sqrt{6} \left( h_3^1 h_1^1 + g_3^1 g_1^1 \right) \\ &\quad -24 \left( h_2^{1^2} + g_2^{1^2} \right) - 6 \left( g_2^{2^2} + h_2^{2^2} \right) + 12\,g_1^0 g_3^0 - 36\,g_2^{0^2} \right) \\ &\quad -\frac{3\omega_E^2 \sin^2 i}{2048} \frac{R_E^{10}}{R^8} \left( 45 \left( 6 \left( h_3^{2^2} + g_3^{2^2} \right) - 15 \left( h_3^{1^2} + g_3^{1^2} \right) - h_3^{3^2} - g_3^{3^2} \right) \\ &\quad +20\,g_3^{0^2} \right) \cos^6(i) + 5 \left( 62 \left( h_3^{2^2} + g_3^{2^2} \right) - 15 \left( h_3^{1^2} + g_3^{1^2} \right) \right) \\ &\quad -45 \left( h_3^{3^2} + g_3^{3^2} \right) - 310 \left( g_3^{2^2} + h_3^{2^2} \right) + 1356\,g_3^{0^2} \right) \cos^2(i) \\ &\quad -270 \left( g_3^{2^2} + h_3^{2^2} \right) - 75 \left( h_3^{3^2} + g_3^{3^2} \right) - 421 \left( h_1^{1^2} + g_3^{1^2} \right) - 516\,g_3^{0^2} \right) \\ &\quad (49) \\ \langle v_{C\eta} B_{\xi} B_{\eta} \rangle_t = \frac{\omega_E \sin^2 i \cos i}{4} \frac{R_E^6}{R^5} \left( 2\,g_1^{0^2} - h_1^{1^2} - g_1^{1^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\omega_E \sin^2 i \cos i}{32} \frac{R_E^6}{R^7} \left( 3 \left( 8 \left( g_2^{1^2} + h_2^{1^2} \right) - 2 \left( g_2^{2^2} + h_2^{2^2} \right) \right) \\ \\ &\quad + 5\sqrt{6} \left( h_3^1 h_1^1 + g_3^1 g_1^1 \right) - 20\,g_1^0 g_3^0 - 12\,g_2^{0^2} \right) \cos^3(i) + \left( 36\,g_2^{0^2} + 12\,g_1^0 g_3^0 \right) \\ \\ &\quad -18 \left( g_2^{2^2} + h_2^{2^2} \right) - 11\sqrt{6} \left( h_3^1 h_1^1 + g_3^1 g_1^1 \right) \right) \cos(i) \right) \\ \\ &\quad + \frac{3\omega_E \sin^2 i \cos i}{R^6} \frac{R_E^{10}}{R^9} \left( 15 \left( 6 \left( h_3^{2^2} + g_3^{2^2} \right) - 15 \left( h_3^{1^2} + g_3^{1^2} \right) \\ \\ &\quad -h_3^3^2 - g_3^3^2 + 20\,g_3^{0^2} \right) \cos^5(i) + 10 \left( 2 \left( h_3^{2^2} + g_3^{2^2} \right) - 3 \left( h_3^3^2 + g_3^{3^2} \right) \\ \\ &\quad +19 \left( h_3^{1^2} + g_3^{1^2} \right) - 25 \left( h_3^{3^2} + g_3^{2^2} \right) + 52\,g_3^{0^2} \cos(i) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \langle B_{\zeta}^{2} \rangle_{t} &= \left(\frac{R_{E}}{R}\right)^{6} \left( \left(g_{1}^{12} + h_{1}^{12} - 2\,g_{1}^{02}\right) \cos^{2}(i) + h_{1}^{12} + 2\,g_{1}^{02} + g_{1}^{12} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{64} \left(\frac{R_{E}}{R}\right)^{8} \left( 3 \left( 108 \left( h_{2}^{12} + g_{2}^{12} \right) - 27 \left( g_{2}^{22} + h_{2}^{22} \right) \right) \\ &+ 80\sqrt{6} \left( h_{3}^{1}h_{1}^{1} + g_{3}^{1}g_{1}^{1} \right) - 162\,g_{2}^{02} - 320\,g_{3}^{0}g_{1}^{0} \right) \cos^{4}(i) + 3 \left( 180\,g_{2}^{02} \right) \\ &+ 384\,g_{3}^{0}g_{1}^{0} - 18 \left( g_{2}^{22} + h_{2}^{22} \right) - 72 \left( h_{2}^{12} + g_{2}^{12} \right) \\ &- 32\sqrt{6} \left( h_{3}^{1}h_{1}^{1} + g_{3}^{1}g_{1}^{1} \right) \right) \cos^{2}(i) - 81 \left( g_{2}^{22} + h_{2}^{22} \right) - 16\sqrt{6} \left( h_{3}^{1}h_{1}^{1} + g_{3}^{1}g_{1}^{1} \right) \\ &- 108 \left( h_{2}^{12} + g_{2}^{12} \right) - 192\,g_{3}^{0}g_{1}^{0} - 198\,g_{2}^{02} \right) - \frac{1}{16} \left( \frac{R_{E}}{R} \right)^{10} \left( 25 \left( 6 \left( h_{3}^{22} + g_{3}^{22} \right) \right) \\ &- 15 \left( h_{3}^{12} + g_{3}^{12} \right) - h_{3}^{22} - g_{3}^{22} + h_{3}^{22} - 108\,g_{3}^{02} \right) \cos^{6}(i) + 15 \left( 33 \left( g_{3}^{12} + h_{3}^{12} \right) \right) \\ &- 6 \left( h_{3}^{2} + g_{3}^{22} \right) - g_{3}^{22} - h_{3}^{23} - 52\,g_{3}^{02} \right) \cos^{4}(i) + 3 \left( 116\,g_{3}^{02} - 5 \left( g_{3}^{22} + h_{3}^{22} \right) \right) \\ &- 43 \left( g_{3}^{12} + h_{3}^{12} \right) - 10 \left( h_{3}^{22} + g_{3}^{22} \right) \right) \cos^{2}(i) \\ &- 25 \left( g_{3}^{22} + h_{3}^{22} \right) - 39 \left( h_{3}^{12} + g_{3}^{12} \right) - 30 \left( h_{3}^{22} + g_{3}^{22} \right) - 68\,g_{3}^{02} \right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \left\langle v_{C\eta}^2 B_{\zeta}^2 \right\rangle_t &= -\frac{\omega_E^2 \sin^2 i}{4} \frac{R_E^6}{R^4} \left( \left( 2 g_1^{0^2} - g_1^{1^2} - h_1^{1^2} \right) \cos^2(i) \right. \\ &\left. - 3 h_1^{1^2} - 3 g_1^{1^2} - 2 g_1^{0^2} \right) - \frac{\omega_E^2 \sin^2 i}{128} \frac{R_E^8}{R^6} \left( \left( 108 \left( g_2^{1^2} + h_2^{1^2} \right) \right. \\ &\left. + 80 \sqrt{6} \left( h_3^1 h_1^1 + g_3^1 g_1^1 \right) - 27 \left( g_2^{2^2} + h_2^{2^2} \right) - 162 g_2^{0^2} - 320 g_3^0 g_1^0 \right) \cos^4(i) \right. \\ &\left. + 2 \left( 16 \sqrt{6} \left( h_3^1 h_1^1 + g_3^1 g_1^1 \right) - 27 \left( g_2^{2^2} + h_2^{2^2} \right) + 128 g_3^0 g_1^0 + 54 g_2^{0^2} \right) \cos^2(i) \right. \\ &\left. - 135 \left( g_2^{2^2} + h_2^{2^2} \right) - 108 \left( g_2^{1^2} + h_2^{1^2} \right) + 16 \sqrt{6} \left( h_3^1 h_1^1 + g_3^1 g_1^1 \right) \right. \\ &\left. + 64 g_3^0 g_1^0 - 90 g_2^{0^2} \right) - \frac{\omega_E^2 \sin^2 i}{128} \frac{R_E^{10}}{R^8} \left( 25 \left( 6 \left( h_3^{2^2} + g_3^{2^2} \right) - 15 \left( h_3^{1^2} + g_3^{1^2} \right) \right) \right] \right] \end{split}$$

$$-h_{3}^{32} - g_{3}^{32} + 20 g_{3}^{02} \cos^{6}(i) + 15 \left( 19 \left( h_{3}^{12} + g_{3}^{12} \right) - 3 \left( g_{3}^{32} + h_{3}^{32} \right) \right. \\ \left. + 2 \left( h_{3}^{22} + g_{3}^{22} \right) - 36 g_{3}^{02} \cos^{4}(i) + 3 \left( 9 \left( h_{3}^{12} + g_{3}^{12} \right) - 25 \left( g_{3}^{32} + h_{3}^{32} \right) \right. \\ \left. - 10 \left( h_{3}^{22} + g_{3}^{22} \right) + 52 g_{3}^{02} \cos^{2}(i) - 175 \left( g_{3}^{32} + h_{3}^{32} \right) \right. \\ \left. - 129 \left( h_{3}^{12} + g_{3}^{12} \right) - 150 \left( h_{3}^{22} + g_{3}^{22} \right) - 116 g_{3}^{02} \right)$$

$$(52)$$

$$\langle v_{C\eta} B_{\xi} B_{\zeta} \rangle_{t} = -\frac{\omega_{E} \sin^{2} i}{16} \frac{R_{E}^{7}}{R^{6}} \left( 7 \left( \sqrt{3} \left( h_{2}^{1} h_{1}^{1} + g_{2}^{1} g_{1}^{1} \right) - 3 g_{2}^{0} g_{1}^{0} \right) \cos^{2}(i) \right. \\ \left. + \sqrt{3} \left( h_{2}^{1} h_{1}^{1} + g_{2}^{1} g_{1}^{1} \right) + 9 g_{2}^{0} g_{1}^{0} \right) - \frac{3\omega_{E} \sin^{2} i}{128} \frac{R_{E}^{9}}{R^{8}} \left( \left( 17 \sqrt{5} \left( g_{3}^{2} g_{2}^{2} + h_{3}^{2} h_{2}^{2} \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \\ \left. - 85 \sqrt{2} \left( h_{2}^{1} h_{3}^{1} + g_{2}^{1} g_{3}^{1} \right) + 170 g_{3}^{0} g_{2}^{0} \right) \cos^{4}(i) + \left( 48 \sqrt{2} \left( h_{2}^{1} h_{3}^{1} + g_{2}^{1} g_{3}^{1} \right) \right) \right) \\ \left. + 6 \sqrt{5} \left( g_{3}^{2} g_{2}^{2} + h_{3}^{2} h_{2}^{2} \right) - 148 g_{3}^{0} g_{2}^{0} \right) \cos^{2}(i) \right. \\ \left. + \sqrt{5} \left( g_{3}^{2} g_{2}^{2} + h_{3}^{2} h_{2}^{2} \right) + 5 \sqrt{2} \left( h_{2}^{1} h_{3}^{1} + g_{2}^{1} g_{3}^{1} \right) + 26 g_{3}^{0} g_{2}^{0} \right)$$

$$(53)$$

$$\langle B_{\eta}B_{\zeta}\rangle_{t} = -\frac{\cos i}{4} \left(\frac{R_{E}}{R}\right)^{7} \left(7 \left(\sqrt{3} \left(h_{2}^{1}h_{1}^{1} + g_{2}^{1}g_{1}^{1}\right) - 3 g_{2}^{0}g_{1}^{0}\right) \cos^{2}(i) \right. \\ \left. + 15 g_{2}^{0}g_{1}^{0} - 3 \sqrt{3} \left(h_{2}^{1}h_{1}^{1} + g_{2}^{1}g_{1}^{1}\right)\right) - \frac{3\cos i}{64} \left(\frac{R_{E}}{R}\right)^{9} \left(\left(51 \sqrt{5} \left(g_{3}^{2}g_{2}^{2} + h_{3}^{2}h_{2}^{2}\right) - 255 \sqrt{2} \left(h_{2}^{1}h_{3}^{1} + g_{2}^{1}g_{3}^{1}\right) + 510 g_{3}^{0}g_{2}^{0}\right) \cos^{4}(i) + \left(266 \sqrt{2} \left(h_{2}^{1}h_{3}^{1} + g_{2}^{1}g_{3}^{1}\right) - 22 \sqrt{5} \left(g_{3}^{2}g_{2}^{2} + h_{3}^{2}h_{2}^{2}\right) - 636 g_{3}^{0}g_{2}^{0}\right) \cos^{2}(i) \\ \left. - 5 \sqrt{5} \left(g_{3}^{2}g_{2}^{2} + h_{3}^{2}h_{2}^{2}\right) - 43 \sqrt{2} \left(h_{2}^{1}h_{3}^{1} + g_{2}^{1}g_{3}^{1}\right) + 174 g_{3}^{0}g_{2}^{0}\right)$$

$$\left. (54)$$

$$\left\langle v_{C\eta} B_{\zeta}^2 \right\rangle_t = 0 \tag{55}$$

$$\left\langle v_{C\eta}^2 B_{\xi} B_{\zeta} \right\rangle_t = -\frac{\sqrt{6}\omega_E^2 \sin^2 i \cos i}{32} \frac{R_E^8}{R^6} \left( h_3^1 g_1^1 - h_1^1 g_3^1 \right) \left( 5 \cos^2 i - 1 \right)$$
(56)

$$\left\langle v_{C\eta} B_{\eta} B_{\zeta} \right\rangle_{t} = \frac{\sqrt{6}\omega_{E} \sin^{2} i}{32} \frac{R_{E}^{8}}{R^{7}} \left( h_{3}^{1} g_{1}^{1} - h_{1}^{1} g_{3}^{1} \right) \left( 5 \cos^{2} i - 1 \right)$$
(57)

$$\begin{split} \langle B_{\xi}^2 \rangle_t &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{R_E}{R} \right)^6 \left( \left( h_1^{12} - 2\,g_1^{02} + g_1^{12} \right) \cos^2(i) + g_1^{12} + 2\,g_1^{02} + h_1^{12} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{32} \left( \frac{R_E}{R} \right)^8 \left( 3 \left( 20\,g_1^0 g_3^0 - 5\,\sqrt{6} \left( h_3^1 h_1^1 + g_1^1 g_3^1 \right) + 2 \left( h_2^{22} + g_2^{22} \right) + 12\,g_2^{02} \right) \\ &- 8 \left( g_2^{12} + h_2^{12} \right) \right) \cos^4(i) \right) + \left( 6 \left( 6 \left( g_2^{22} + h_2^{22} \right) - 12 \left( g_3^0 + g_2^{02} \right) \right) \\ &+ \sqrt{6} \left( g_1^1 g_3^1 + h_3^1 h_1^1 \right) \cos^2(i) + \sqrt{6} \left( g_1^1 g_3^1 + h_3^1 h_1^1 \right) + 6 \left( 2\,g_1^0 g_3^0 + 3\,g_2^{02} \right) \\ &+ 4 h_2^{12} + 4\,g_2^{12} + g_2^{22} + h_2^{22} \right) \right) + \frac{3}{256} \left( \frac{R_E}{R} \right)^{10} \left( 15 \left( 15 \left( h_1^{12} + g_3^{12} \right) \right) \\ &- 6 \left( h_3^{22} + g_3^{22} \right) - 20\,g_3^{02} + g_3^{22} - h_3^{32} \right) \cos^6(i) + 5 \left( 21 \left( g_3^{32} + h_3^{32} \right) \right) \\ &- 53 \left( g_3^{12} + h_3^{12} \right) - 34 \left( h_3^{22} + g_3^{22} \right) + 132\,g_3^{02} \right) \cos^4(i) \\ &+ 3 \left( 35 \left( 2\,g_3^{22} + 2\,h_3^{22} + g_3^{32} + h_3^{32} \right) - 19 \left( h_3^{12} + g_3^{12} \right) - 172\,g_3^{02} \right) \cos^2(i) \\ &+ 50 \left( g_3^{22} + h_3^{22} \right) + 15 \left( g_3^{32} + h_3^{32} \right) - 19 \left( h_3^{12} + g_3^{12} \right) - 172\,g_3^{02} \right) \cos^2(i) \\ &+ 50 \left( g_3^{22} + h_3^{22} \right) + 15 \left( g_3^{22} + h_3^{22} \right) + 113 \left( h_3^{12} + g_3^{12} \right) - 172\,g_3^{02} \right) \cos^2(i) \\ &+ 6 \left( 2\,\sqrt{5} \left( g_3^2 h_2^2 - g_2^2 h_3^2 \right) + 7\sqrt{2} \left( h_2^1 g_3^1 - h_1^1 g_2^1 \right) \right) \\ &+ \frac{3}{64} \left( \frac{R_E}{R} \right)^9 \left( 5 \left( 2\,\sqrt{5} \left( g_3^2 h_2^2 - g_2^2 h_3^2 \right) + 5\,\sqrt{2} \left( h_3^1 g_2^1 - h_2^1 g_3^1 \right) \right) \cos^4(i) \\ &+ 6 \left( 2\,\sqrt{5} \left( g_3^2 h_2^2 - g_2^2 h_3^2 \right) + 7\sqrt{2} \left( h_2^1 g_3^1 - h_3^1 g_2^1 \right) \right) \cos^2(i) \\ &+ 3 \left( 3\,\sqrt{2} \left( h_3^1 g_2^1 - h_2^1 g_3^1 \right) + 2\sqrt{5} \left( g_2^2 h_3^2 - g_3^2 h_2^2 \right) \right) \right) , \\ &\langle B_{\xi} B_{\zeta} \rangle_t = \frac{\cos(i)\sqrt{6}}{8} \left( \frac{R_E}{R} \right)^8 \left( 5\cos^2(i) - 3 \right) \left( h_1^1 g_3^1 - h_3^1 g_1^1 \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Let us replace the matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  by its averaged value  $\mathbf{M}_{cp}$  in the system of equations (41):

$$\mathbf{J}\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{\varphi}\\ \ddot{\theta}\\ \ddot{\psi} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{H}\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\varphi}\\ \dot{\theta}\\ \dot{\psi} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{M}_{cp}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi\\ \theta\\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$
(58)

By the change

$$x_1 = \frac{d\varphi}{du}, \ x_2 = \frac{d\theta}{du}, \ x_3 = \frac{d\psi}{du}, \ x_4 = \varphi, \ x_5 = \theta, \ x_6 = \psi$$

the system (41) can be reduced to the dimensionless form

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{du} = (\mathbf{N} + \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}(u))\mathbf{x},\tag{59}$$

where the matrix  $\mathbf{N}$  have the block structure:

	(		<b>H</b>	$\left  - \frac{\mathbf{J}^{-1}\mathbf{M_{cp}}}{\omega_0^2} \right.  ight angle$				
$\mathbf{N} =$		$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	

All solutions of the system  $\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{du} = \mathbf{N}\mathbf{x}$ , corresponding to (58), are asymptotically stable if and only if all the real parts of the roots of characteristic equation  $\det(\lambda I - \mathbf{N}) = 0$  are negative. The numerical computer analysis showed that there exists a domain of parameters of the Earth's artificial satellite and its orbit, in the presence of which this condition is fulfilled, and, hence, in this domain, real parts  $\alpha_j$  of eigenvalues of the matrix  $\mathbf{N}$  satisfy the inequalities  $\alpha_j < -\mu$ , where j = 1..6,  $\mu = \text{const} > 0$ .

For visualization of such domain it is advisable to construct its section fixing some parameters of spacecraft and its orbit. For example the section of mentioned domain at the following fixed parameters

$$R = 7 \cdot 10^{6} \text{ m}, A = 10^{3} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{2}, Q = 5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ C},$$
  

$$h_{1} = h_{2} = h_{3} = h = 0.5A\omega_{0} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{2}/\text{s},$$
  

$$k_{L} = 10 \text{ s/T}, k_{M} = 2 \cdot 10^{6} \text{ N} \cdot \text{m/T}^{2},$$
(60)

by the plain of inertial parameters  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$  at  $i = \pi/3$  rad is plotted in the Fig. 9.

Moreover, the comparison of the given domain of parameters, obtained with the joint use of the moments  $\vec{M}_M$  and  $\vec{M}_L$  (Fig. 9), with corresponding domains, constructed for the cases, when only one of these moments is in use, was fulfilled. All calculations were performed for parameters of spacecraft and



Figure 9:

its orbit, cited in equalities (60), except the cases of absence of one of control moments  $\vec{M}_M$  or  $\vec{M}_L$ , for which  $k_M = 0$  or  $k_L = 0$  accordingly. The results are shown in the Fig. 10.

The horizontal shading mark the region corresponding to the use of only one control moment  $\vec{M}_L$ , the vertical shading mark the region corresponding to the use of only one control moment  $\vec{M}_M$ , the grey color mark the region which arises only thanks to the joint use of the both control moments.

According to [9], let us consider the function

$$\chi(u) = \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{2}u\right) \sum_{k=0}^{5} \frac{\left(12\max_{i,j}|n_{i,j}|\right)^{k}}{k!} u^{k} .$$
 (61)

The maximum value of this function on semiaxis  $[0, +\infty)$  we denote as D. If

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{N}}(u)\| = \max_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{6} |\widetilde{n}_{ij}| < \frac{\mu}{2}D,$$
(62)

then the zero solution of the system (59) (the same system in dimension form is (41)) is asymptotically stable [9]. Hence, the zero solution of initial nonlinear system (23) will be total stable [11].



Figure 10:

It is easy to verify that in domain of parameters of spacecraft and its orbit where the real parts of all eigenvalues of the matrix  $\mathbf{N}$  are negative, the inequality (62) is valid. As is obvious from the foregoing, the suggested method of spacecraft attitude stabilization is operable in the mentioned domain and this is confirmed by computer modeling.



Figure 11:

In Fig. 11 -Fig. 13 are represented the computational results of space-



Figure 13:

craft stabilized attitude motion in the presence of the following values of parameters of the spacecraft and its orbit:  $R = 7 \cdot 10^6$  m,  $i = \pi/3$  rad,  $A = 10^3$  kg  $\cdot$  m<sup>2</sup>,  $Q = 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$  C,  $\delta = 0.7$ ,  $\varepsilon = 0.7$ ,  $h_1 = h_2 = h_3 = h = 0.5A\omega_0$  kg  $\cdot$  m<sup>2</sup>/s,  $k_L = 10$  s/T,  $k_M = 2 \cdot 10^6$  N  $\cdot$  m/T<sup>2</sup>. At such choice of parameter  $\delta$  the gravitational moment  $\vec{M}_G$  is disturbing from the point of view of stabilization process in the direct equilibrium position. As initial values,  $\varphi(0) = 0.2$  rad,  $\psi(0) = -0.2$  rad,  $\theta(0) = 0.2$  rad,  $\omega_x(0) = 0.1\omega_0$  rad/s,  $\omega_y(0) = 1.1\omega_0$  rad/s,  $\omega_z(0) = 0.1\omega_0$  rad/s.

In Fig. 11 the graphs of "airborne" angles via the argument of a latitude are plotted for spacecraft under the action of control moments  $\vec{M}_L$  and  $\vec{M}_M$ . In Fig. 12 the graphs of quaternion components are plotted for the case of stabilized motion, and in Fig. 7 are the same components in the absence of control moments  $\vec{M}_L$  ( $k_L = 0$ ),  $\vec{M}_M$  ( $k_M = 0$ ) and the damping moment  $\vec{M}_D$  (h = 0). The comparison of Fig. 11 and Fig. 12, Fig. 7 make it clear that the suggested stabilization system permits to reach the prescribed spacecraft attitude position, and the stabilization process is rather fast as in the case of the equatorial orbit (i = 0). The values of the moments  $\vec{M}_L$ ,  $\vec{M}_M$ ,  $\vec{M}_G$ ,  $\vec{M}_D$ acting upon the spacecraft in the process of stabilization, are shown in Fig. 13. It is obvious that the mentioned values are in such limits that make evident the operability of suggested method of attitude control. The comparison of obtained results with similar results obtained with the use of only one of the moments  $\vec{M}_L$  or  $\vec{M}_M$  is made. It is revealed that the joint use of both control moments  $\vec{M}_L$  and  $\vec{M}_M$  in electrodynamical attitude stability system result in reduce of the transition time.

#### Conclusion

Thus, the possibility of spacecraft attitude stabilization on the orbits with arbitrary inclinations with the help of control moments  $\vec{M}_L$ ,  $\vec{M}_M$  and  $\vec{M}_D$  is proved. It is proved and verified by computer modeling that the suggested attitude control permits to reach the stabilized motion in the short time. It should be noted that all computations were carried out with the use of the octupole approximation of geomagnetic field in order to achieve the necessary calculation accuracy. It was revealed that the use of more simple models of geomagnetic field (dipole or quadrupole approximations) may give rise to incorrect results.

### References

- Kovalenko A.P. Magnitnye sistemy upravleniya kosmicheskimi letatel'nymi apparatami (Magnetic Systems for Spaceship Control), Mashinostroenie, Moscow, 1975.
- [2] Alpatov A.P., Dranovskii V.I., Saltykov Yu.D., Khoroshilov V.S. Dinamika kosmicheskikh apparatov s magnitnymi sistemami upravleniya (Dynamics of Spacecraft with Magnetic Control Systems), Mashinostroenie, Moscow, 1978.
- [3] Tikhonov A.A. A Method of Semipassive Attitude Stabilization of a Spacecraft in the Geomagnetic Field, Cosmic Research, 41, no. 1, (2003), 63–73.
- [4] Antipov K.A. and Tikhonov A.A. Parametric Control in the Problem of Spacecraft Stabilization in the Geomagnetic Field, Automation and Remote Control, 68, no. 8, (2007), 1333–1345.
- [5] Petrov K.G. and Tikhonov A.A. The moment of Lorentz forces, acting upon the charged satellite in the geomagnetic field. Part 1: The Earth's magnetic field strenth in the orbital coordinate system, Vestnik of St.-Petersburg State University, Ser.1, 1 (no. 1), (1999), 92–100.

- [6] Tikhonov A.A. and Petrov K.G. Multipole Models of the Earth's Magnetic Field, Cosmic Research, 40, no. 3, (2002), 219–229.
- [7] Antipov K.A., Petrov K.G., Tikhonov A.A. The electrodynamical method for attitude stabilization of a dynamically symmetric spacecraft, Vestnik of St.-Petersburg State University. Ser.1, 1 (no. 1), (2006), 75–86.
- [8] Beletskii V.V. Dvizhenie sputnika otnositel'no tsentra mass v gravitatsionnom pole (Satellite Motion with Respect to the Center of Mass in the Gravitational Field), Mosk. Gos. Univ., Moscow, 1975.
- [9] Martynyuk, A.A., Lakshmikantam, V., and Lila, S. Ustoichivost' dvizheniya: metod integral'nykh neravenstv (Stability of Motion: The Integral Inequality Method), Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1989.
- [10] Bellmann R. The stability theory of differential equations solutions, 1954.
- [11] Malkin I.G. Teoriya ustoichivosti dvizheniya (Theory of Motion Stability), Nauka, Moscow, 1966.

Submitted in July 2011.

#### O stabilizaciji letilice u orbitalnom koordinatnom sistemu

U radu se razmatra letilica u kružnoj orbiti bliskoj zemlji. Letilica medjudejstvuje sa geomagnetnim poljem momentima Lorencove i magnetne sile. Prihvaćena je oktupolna aproksimacija zemljinog magnetnog polja. Elektromagnetni parametri letilice, naime, elektrostatički moment naelektrisanja prvog reda kao i sopstveni magnetni moment su kontrolisane kvaziperiodične funkcije. Upravljajući algoritmi za elektromagnetne parametre letilice koji dozvoljavaju stabilizovanje položaja letilice u orbitalnom koordinatnm sistemu su dobijeni. Stabilnost stabilizovane orijentacije letilice je dokazana kako analitički tako i PC izračunavanjima.

doi:10.2298/TAM1202127A

Math.Subj.Class.: 70E50, 70Q05, 34H15